arXiv:0912.1156v1 [math.QA] 7 Dec 2009

FRT Construction
for
Dynamical Yang-Baxter Maps

Youichi Shibukawa[a] and Mitsuhiro Takeuchi[b]

[a]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University,
Sapporo 060-0810, Japan
[b]Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba,
Tsukuba 305, Japan

e-mail address: shibu@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp; takeu@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

December 7, 2009

Abstract

Notions of an (H, X)-bialgebroid and of its dynamical representation are proposed. The dynamical representations of each (H, X)-bialgebroid form a tensor category. Every dynamical Yang-Baxter map $R(\lambda)$ satisfying suitable conditions, a generalization of the set-theoretical solution to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, gives birth to an (H, X)-bialgebroid A_R. The categories of L-operators for $R(\lambda)$ and of dynamical representations of A_R are isomorphic as tensor categories.

Keywords: bialgebroids; dynamical representations; dynamical Yang-Baxter maps; FRT construction; L-operators; tensor categories.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): primary 16W35; secondary 16W30, 17B37, 18D10, 20G42, 81R50.

1 Introduction

Representations of every bialgebra form a tensor category. Faddeev, Reshetikhin, and Takhtajan [10] constructed some bialgebras, called FRT bialgebras, by means of constant R-matrices, solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE for short) [1, 2, 27, 28]. This FRT construction is categorically characterized as follows. The tensor category of L-operators for the R-matrix R is isomorphic to that of representations of the FRT bialgebra associated with R.

The FRT construction for the dynamical R-matrix, a solution to the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation (QDYBE for short) [7, 11, 12], was developed in [9, Sections 4.1, 4.2, and 4.4]. This construction yields bialgebroids [3, 16, 26] called dynamical quantum groups, each of which provides a tensor category consisting of its dynamical representations. This tensor category is isomorphic to that of L-operators.

In addition, the FRT construction for the Yang-Baxter map [6, 17, 24, 25], a set-theoretical solution to the QYBE, was studied in [8, Section 2.9].

The notion of a dynamical Yang-Baxter map was introduced in previous papers [19, 20] as a generalization of the Yang-Baxter map. Let H and X be nonempty sets, together with a map $(\cdot) : H \times X \ni (\lambda, x) \mapsto \lambda \cdot x \in H$.

Definition 1.1. A map $R(\lambda) : X \times X \to X \times X$ $(\lambda \in H)$ is a dynamical Yang-Baxter map associated with H, X, and $(\cdot)$, iff the map $R(\lambda)$ satisfies a version of the QDYBE.

$$R^{23}(\lambda)R^{13}(\lambda \cdot X^{(2)})R^{12}(\lambda) = R^{12}(\lambda \cdot X^{(3)})R^{13}(\lambda)R^{23}(\lambda \cdot X^{(1)}) \quad (\forall \lambda \in H). \tag{1.1}$$

Here, $R^{12}(\lambda)$, $R^{12}(\lambda \cdot X^{(3)})$, and $R^{23}(\lambda \cdot X^{(1)})$ are the following maps from $X \times X \times X$ to itself: for $x, y, z \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^{12}(\lambda)(x, y, z) = (R(\lambda)(x, y), z);\\
&R^{12}(\lambda \cdot X^{(3)})(x, y, z) = R^{12}(\lambda \cdot z)(x, y, z) = (R(\lambda \cdot z)(x, y), z);\\
&R^{23}(\lambda \cdot X^{(1)})(x, y, z) = (x, R(\lambda \cdot x)(y, z)).
\end{aligned}$$

The other maps are similarly defined.

A generalization of the bijective 1-cocycle [8, 17, 22] gives birth to the dynamical Yang-Baxter map. In [19], we constructed dynamical Yang-Baxter maps by means of the groups and loops [18, Definition I.1.10]. Moreover, the paper [20] established a characterization of the dynamical Yang-Baxter maps with some conditions by making use of left quasigroups and ternary operations (See Section 3).

It is natural to try to apply the FRT construction to the dynamical Yang-Baxter map.

In this paper, we propose notions of an (H, X)-bialgebroid and of its dynamical representation. The dynamical representations of each (H, X)-bialgebroid form a tensor category; the proof is based on some concepts in category theory. We construct an (H, X)-bialgebroid A_R associated with a bijective dynamical Yang-Baxter map $R(\lambda)$. This is an analogue of the FRT construction. We show that the tensor category of dynamical representations of A_R is isomorphic to that of L-operators for $R(\lambda)$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce a $\mathbb{C}$-linear tensor category Vect_H, which plays a basic role in this paper (cf. the category $\mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ [9, Section 3.1] and the dynamical category [5, Section 4.2]).

We assume that every translation map $\cdot x : H \ni \lambda \mapsto \lambda \cdot x \in H$ $(x \in X)$ is bijective. This assumption produces a group W^H_X anti-isomorphic to the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(H)$ generated by the set $\{\cdot x; x \in X\}$. In Section 4, we propose a notion of an (H, X)-algebra (Definition 4.2), which is similar to that of a $W^H_X \times W^H_X$-graded algebra. A major difference between them is that the (H, X)-algebra is not always a direct sum of its homogeneous components (See the end of Section 7).

The category $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ consisting of (H,X)-algebras and their homomorphisms is a pre-tensor category (Definition 6.1), a generalization of the tensor category. We define an (H,X)-bialgebroid as an analogue of the coalgebra object of the tensor category.

Definition 1.2 (Definition 6.8)**.** An (H,X)-bialgebroid A is an object of the category $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$, together with morphisms $\Delta : A \to A\widetilde{\otimes}A$ and $\varepsilon : A \to I_{H,X}$ of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ such that:

$$a^l_{A,A,A} \circ \Delta\widetilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_A \circ \Delta = a^r_{A,A,A} \circ \mathrm{id}_A\widetilde{\otimes}\Delta \circ \Delta;$$
$$l_A \circ \varepsilon\widetilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_A \circ \Delta = \mathrm{id}_A = r_A \circ \mathrm{id}_A\widetilde{\otimes}\varepsilon \circ \Delta.$$

For the object $I_{H,X}$, the functor $\widetilde{\otimes}$, and the natural transformations a^l, a^r, l, r, see Sections 5 and 6. This (H,X)-bialgebroid is a bialgebroid (Remark 6.9).

We assume that the set X is finite. In Section 7, we construct an (H,X)-bialgebroid A_R associated with a bijective dynamical Yang-Baxter map $R(\lambda)$ satisfying the weight zero condition (3.4).

Let $D_{H,X}(V)$ $(V \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Vect}_H))$ denote the (H,X)-algebra defined in Section 4 (See Proposition 4.7). By a dynamical representation of an (H,X)-algebra A, we mean a pair (V,π) of an object V of Vect_H and an (H,X)-algebra homomorphism $\pi : A \to D_{H,X}(V)$ (See Section 8).

In Section 9, we first define a crucial (H,X)-algebra homomorphism

$$D_{H,X}(V)\widetilde{\otimes}D_{H,X}(W) \to D_{H,X}(V\bar{\otimes}W) \quad (V,W \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Vect}_H)),$$

whose definition is a bit technical, because we make use of seemingly infinite sum operations (Proposition 9.2). With the aid of this canonical homomorphism, we show that dynamical representations of each (H,X)-bialgebroid A form a tensor category $\mathrm{DR}(A)$ (Theorem 9.7); Section 10 describes its proof, in which the pre-tensor categories, categorical bialgebroids (Definition 6.7), and pre-tensor functors (Definition 10.4) play an essential role.

The tensor category Vect_H, together with a bijective dynamical Yang-Baxter map $R(\lambda)$ satisfying the weight zero condition (3.4), yields another tensor category $\mathrm{Rep}\,R$, called a centralizer category (cf. [23, Proof of Theorem 3.7]), consisting of L-operators for $R(\lambda)$ (See Section 3). In the final section, Section 11, we prove our main result.

Theorem 1.3 (Corollary 11.13)**.** *The tensor categories* $\mathrm{Rep}\,R$ *and* $\mathrm{DR}(A_R)$ *are canonically isomorphic with each other.*

To end Introduction, it is worth pointing out that we do not need (1.1) in Sections 7 and 11. A role of (1.1), a version of the QDYBE, is to imply that the tensor categories $\mathrm{Rep}\,R$ and $\mathrm{DR}(A_R)$ are non-trivial in general (See Remark 3.9).

Conventions. For a set V, we will denote by $\mathbb{C}V$ the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space whose basis is V. Its element g will be written as

$$g = \sum_{v \in V} v g_v \tag{1.2}$$

with complex coefficients g_v. For two sets V and W, a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $f : \mathbb{C}V \to \mathbb{C}W$ will be identified with its matrix $(f_{wv})_{(w,v)\in W\times V}$; we will use the notation

$$f(v) = \sum_{w \in W} w f_{wv} \quad (v \in V) \tag{1.3}$$

with complex coefficients f_{wv}.

2 $\mathbb{C}$-linear tensor category Vect_H

Let H be a nonempty set. A $\mathbb{C}$-linear tensor category Vect_H, which we will introduce in this section, plays a basic role in this paper. We follow the notation of [14, Chapter XI].

An object of Vect_H is, by definition, a pair $(V, \cdot_V)$ of a nonempty set V and a map $\cdot_V : H \times V \ni (\lambda, v) \mapsto \lambda \cdot_V v \in H$. For simplicity of notation, we write $(\cdot)$ and V instead of $\cdot_V$ and $(V, \cdot_V)$, respectively. Furthermore, we denote $\lambda \cdot v$ $(\lambda \in H, v \in V)$ briefly by λv. $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Vect}_H)$ is the class of all objects of Vect_H.

Let V and W be objects of Vect_H. A morphism $f : V \to W$ of Vect_H is a map $f : H \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}V, \mathbb{C}W)$ such that

$$\lambda w = \lambda v, \text{ unless } f(\lambda)_{wv} = 0 \quad (\lambda \in H, v \in V, w \in W). \tag{2.1}$$

For the complex number $f(\lambda)_{wv}$, see (1.3). Let $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Vect}_H)$ denote the class of all morphisms of Vect_H. For the morphism $f : V \to W$, the objects V and W are called the source $s(f)$ and the target $b(f)$, respectively.

For each object V of Vect_H, define the map $\mathrm{id}_V : H \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}V, \mathbb{C}V)$ by $\mathrm{id}_V(\lambda) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}V}$.

Let f and g be morphisms of Vect_H satisfying $b(f) = s(g)$. We define the composition $g \circ f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(s(f), b(g))$ by $(g \circ f)(\lambda) = g(\lambda) \circ f(\lambda)$ $(\lambda \in H)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Vect_H is a category of $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Vect}_H)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Vect}_H)$, together with the identity id, the source s, the target b, and the composition $\circ$.*

The next task is to explain a tensor product $\bar{\otimes} : \mathrm{Vect}_H \times \mathrm{Vect}_H \to \mathrm{Vect}_H$. Let V and W be objects of the category Vect_H. We define the set $V \bar{\otimes} W$ and the map $\cdot_{V \bar{\otimes} W} : H \times (V \bar{\otimes} W) \to H$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} &V \bar{\otimes} W = V \times W; \\ &\lambda \cdot_{V \bar{\otimes} W} (v, w) = (\lambda \cdot_W w) \cdot_V v \quad (\lambda \in H, v \in V, w \in W). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the pair $V \bar{\otimes} W := (V \bar{\otimes} W, \cdot_{V \bar{\otimes} W})$ is an object of Vect_H.

We may identify

$$\mathbb{C}(V \bar{\otimes} W) = \mathbb{C}V \otimes \mathbb{C}W \tag{2.2}$$

by $(v, w) = v \otimes w$ $(v \in V, w \in W)$.

Let f and g be morphisms of the category Vect_H. We denote by $f \bar{\otimes} g$ the following map from H to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}(s(f) \bar{\otimes} s(g)), \mathbb{C}(b(f) \bar{\otimes} b(g)))$ (See (2.2)).

$$f \bar{\otimes} g(\lambda)(u, v) = f(\lambda v)(u) \otimes g(\lambda)(v) \quad (\lambda \in H, u \in s(f), v \in s(g)).$$

Proposition 2.2. *$f \bar{\otimes} g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(s(f) \bar{\otimes} s(g), b(f) \bar{\otimes} b(g))$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $f \bar{\otimes} g$ satisfies (2.1). Because of (2.1) for the morphism g, $\lambda \cdot v_1 = \lambda \cdot v_2$, unless $g(\lambda)_{v_1 v_2} = 0$; hence,

$$(f \bar{\otimes} g)(\lambda)_{(u_1, v_1)(u_2, v_2)} \quad = \quad f(\lambda \cdot v_2)_{u_1 u_2} g(\lambda)_{v_1 v_2}$$

$$= \quad f(\lambda \cdot v_1)_{u_1 u_2} g(\lambda)_{v_1 v_2}.$$

On account of (2.1) for the morphisms f and g, $\lambda \cdot (u_1, v_1) = \lambda \cdot (u_2, v_2)$, unless $(f \bar{\otimes} g)(\lambda)_{(u_1,v_1)(u_2,v_2)} = 0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

The associativity constraint $a : \bar{\otimes}(\bar{\otimes} \times \mathrm{id}) \to \bar{\otimes}(\mathrm{id} \times \bar{\otimes})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&a_{U,V,W}(\lambda)((u,v),w) = (u,(v,w))\\ &(U,V,W \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Vect}_H), \lambda \in H, ((u,v),w) \in (U \bar{\otimes} V) \bar{\otimes} W).\end{aligned}$$

Let I_{Vect_H} denote the set consisting of one element, together with the map $\cdot_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}} : H \times I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H} \to H$ defined by $\lambda \cdot_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}} e = \lambda$ $(\lambda \in H, I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H} = \{e\})$. The pair $I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H} := (I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}, \cdot_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}})$ is an object of Vect_H.

The left and the right unit constraints $l : \bar{\otimes}(I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H} \times \mathrm{id}) \to \mathrm{id}$, $r : \bar{\otimes}(\mathrm{id} \times I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}) \to \mathrm{id}$ with respect to I_{Vect_H} are given by

$$\begin{aligned}&l_V(\lambda)(e,v) = r_V(\lambda)(v,e) = v\\ &(V \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Vect}_H), \lambda \in H, v \in V, I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H} = \{e\}).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.3. $(\mathrm{Vect}_H, \bar{\otimes}, I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}, a, l, r)$ *is a tensor category.*

This tensor category Vect_H is also a $\mathbb{C}$-linear category.

Proposition 2.4. *Every* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(V,W)$ *is a* $\mathbb{C}$*-vector space with respect to*:

$$\begin{aligned}&(f+g)(\lambda) = f(\lambda) + g(\lambda);\\ &(cf)(\lambda) = cf(\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in H, f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(V,W), c \in \mathbb{C}).\end{aligned}$$

In addition, both the composition and the tensor product are $\mathbb{C}$*-bilinear.*

We will show some objects and morphisms of Vect_H. Let $V = (V, \cdot)$ be an object of Vect_H.

Proposition 2.5. *For any nonempty subset* $S \subset V$, $S := (S, \cdot_S)$ *is again an object of* Vect_H. *Here* $\cdot_S$ *is the restriction of* $(\cdot)$ *on the set* $H \times S$; *that is,* $\lambda \cdot_S s = \lambda \cdot s$ $(\lambda \in H, s \in S)$.

For $v \in V$, we denote by $i_V^{\{v\}} : \{v\} \to V$ and $p_{\{v\}}^V : V \to \{v\}$ the following morphisms of Vect_H.

$$i_V^{\{v\}}(\lambda)(v) = v, \quad p_{\{v\}}^V(\lambda)(w) = \delta_{vw} v \quad (\lambda \in H, w \in V). \tag{2.3}$$

Here δ_{vw} is Kronecker's delta symbol. These morphisms satisfy

$$p_{\{v'\}}^V \circ i_V^{\{v\}} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{id}_{\{v\}}, & \text{if } v = v'; \\ 0_{\{v'\}\{v\}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Here, $0_{\{v'\}\{v\}}$ is the unit element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(\{v\},\{v'\})$. Moreover, if the set V is finite, then

$$\sum_{v \in V} i_V^{\{v\}} \circ p_{\{v\}}^V = \mathrm{id}_V. \tag{2.5}$$

Let $V = (V, \cdot_V)$ and $W = (W, \cdot_W)$ be objects of Vect_H such that $|V| = |W| = 1$. From (2.1), V is isomorphic to W, if and only if

$$\lambda \cdot_V v = \lambda \cdot_W w \quad (\forall \lambda \in H; V = \{v\}, W = \{w\}). \tag{2.6}$$

In fact, the following $\iota_W^V : V \to W$ is an isomorphism, if V and W satisfy (2.6).

$$\iota_W^V(\lambda)(v) = w \quad (\lambda \in H). \tag{2.7}$$

3 Centralizer categories

Let $(C, \otimes, I_C, a, l, r)$ be a tensor category [14, Definition XI.2.1]. This section clarifies that this tensor category C, together with an object X_C and a morphism $\sigma : X_C \otimes X_C \to X_C \otimes X_C$, gives birth to another tensor category $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$ consisting of L-operators for the morphism σ. We call it a centralizer category (cf. the center [14, Section XIII.4]). Our centralizer category is isomorphic to the one in [23, Proof of Theorem 3.7] as tensor categories, if σ is a Yang-Baxter operator on X_C (Definition 3.3) in the tensor category of vector spaces. As a corollary of this construction, the tensor category Vect_H in Section 2 produces the centralizer category $\mathrm{Rep}\,R$ of L-operators for the bijective dynamical Yang-Baxter map $R(\lambda)$ satisfying the weight zero condition (3.4).

We will first introduce a category $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$. An object of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$ is, by definition, a pair (V, L_V), called an L-operator, of an object V of C and an isomorphism $L_V : V \otimes X_C \to X_C \otimes V$ of C satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{X_C,X_C,V} \circ (\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_V) \circ a^{-1}_{X_C,X_C,V} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{X_C} \otimes L_V) \circ a_{X_C,V,X_C} \circ (L_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X_C}) \\ = \; & (\mathrm{id}_{X_C} \otimes L_V) \circ a_{X_C,V,X_C} \circ (L_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X_C}) \circ a^{-1}_{V,X_C,X_C} \circ (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \sigma) \circ \\ & \circ a_{V,X_C,X_C}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma)$ denote the class of all objects of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$.

Let $L_V = (V, L_V)$ and $L_W = (W, L_W)$ be objects of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$. A morphism $f : L_V \to L_W$ of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$ is a morphism $f : V \to W$ of C such that

$$(\mathrm{id}_{X_C} \otimes f) \circ L_V = L_W \circ (f \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X_C}). \tag{3.2}$$

We write $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma)$ for the class of all morphisms of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$.

For a morphism $f : L_V \to L_W$ of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$, the objects L_V and L_W are called the source $s(f)$ and the target $b(f)$ of the morphism f, respectively.

We define the identity id of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$ by $\mathrm{id}_{L_V} = \mathrm{id}_V$. The composition $g \circ f : s(f) \to b(g)$ of morphisms f and g of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$ is the same as that of the category C.

Proposition 3.1. $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$ *is a category.*

The next task is to give a tensor product $\boxtimes : \mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma \times \mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma \to \mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$. Let $L_V = (V, L_V)$ and $L_W = (W, L_W)$ be objects of the category $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} L_V \boxtimes L_W \; &= \; a_{X_C,V,W} \circ (L_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_W) \circ a^{-1}_{V,X_C,W} \circ (\mathrm{id}_V \otimes L_W) \circ a_{V,W,X_C} \\ &\in \; \mathrm{Hom}_C((V \otimes W) \otimes X_C, X_C \otimes (V \otimes W)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

To simplify notation, we use the same symbol $L_V \boxtimes L_W$ for the pair $(V \otimes W, L_V \boxtimes L_W)$.

Let $f : L_V \to L_W$ and $g : L_{V'} \to L_{W'}$ be morphisms of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$. We set $f \boxtimes g = f \otimes g \in \mathrm{Hom}_C(V \otimes V', W \otimes W')$. Since f and g satisfy (3.2), $f \boxtimes g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma}(L_V \boxtimes L_{V'}, L_W \boxtimes L_{W'})$.

We write $L_{I_C} = r_{X_C}^{-1} \circ l_{X_C} : I_C \otimes X_C \to X_C \otimes I_C \in \mathrm{Hom}(C)$. Here, $l_{X_C} : I_C \otimes X_C \to X_C$ and $r_{X_C} : X_C \otimes I_C \to X_C$ are the isomorphisms given by the left and the right unit constraints l, r of C. Let $I_{\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma}$ denote the pair (I_C, L_{I_C}).

The associativity constraint $a : \boxtimes(\boxtimes \times \mathrm{id}) \to \boxtimes(\mathrm{id} \times \boxtimes)$, and the left and the right unit constraints l, r are defined by those of the tensor category C: $a_{L_U, L_V, L_W} = a_{U,V,W}$, $l_{L_V} = l_V$, and $r_{L_V} = r_V$.

Proposition 3.2. $(\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma, \boxtimes, I_{\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma}, a, l, r)$ *is a tensor category.*

Proof. For any pair (V, W) of objects of C, $l_{V \otimes W} \circ a_{I_C,V,W} = l_V \otimes \mathrm{id}_W$ and $\mathrm{id}_V \otimes r_W \circ a_{V,W,I_C} = r_{V \otimes W}$ (See [14, Lemma XI.2.2]), which imply (3.1) for L_{I_C} and (3.2) for l_{L_V} and r_{L_V}. The rest of the proof is straightforward. □

Let us introduce the notion of a Yang-Baxter operator in C (See [14, pp.323]), which produces an object of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$.

Definition 3.3. An automorphism σ on $X_C \otimes X_C$ is a Yang-Baxter operator on X_C, iff σ satisfies

$$(\mathrm{id}\bar{\otimes}\sigma)a(\sigma\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id})a^{-1}(\mathrm{id}\bar{\otimes}\sigma)a = a(\sigma\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id})a^{-1}(\mathrm{id}\bar{\otimes}\sigma)a(\sigma\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}).$$

Here, $a = a_{X_C,X_C,X_C}$ and $\mathrm{id} = \mathrm{id}_{X_C}$.

Proposition 3.4. $(X_C, \sigma) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma)$*, if and only if* σ *is a Yang-Baxter operator on* X_C.

We now explain the category $\mathrm{Rep}\,R$. Fix a nonempty set H. Let X be a nonempty set, together with a map $(\cdot) : H \times X \ni (\lambda, x) \mapsto \lambda \cdot x \in H$. It is clear that

Proposition 3.5. $X = (X, \cdot) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Vect}_H)$.

Let $R(\lambda)$ $(\lambda \in H)$ be a bijective dynamical Yang-Baxter map (Definition 1.1) satisfying the weight zero condition (cf. [11]).

$$(\lambda \cdot v) \cdot u = (\lambda \cdot x) \cdot y, \text{ if } (u, v) = R(\lambda)(x, y) \quad (\lambda \in H, x, y \in X). \tag{3.4}$$

Remark 3.6. The weight zero condition (3.4) is a generalization of the invariance condition in [20, (3.4)].

This dynamical Yang-Baxter map $R(\lambda)$ produces a Yang-Baxter operator on X in the tensor category Vect_H. Let σ_R denote the map from H to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}X\bar{\otimes}X, \mathbb{C}X\bar{\otimes}X)$ defined by

$$\sigma_R(\lambda)(x, y) = R(\lambda)(y, x) \quad (\lambda \in H, (x, y) \in X\bar{\otimes}X). \tag{3.5}$$

Proposition 3.7. *The above map* σ_R *is a Yang-Baxter operator on* X *in* Vect_H.

From Propositions 3.2, 3.4, 3.5, and 3.7,

Proposition 3.8. $\mathrm{Rep}\,R := \mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma_R$ *is a tensor category, together with an object* (X, σ_R).

	0	1	2	3	4
0	4	3	2	1	0
1	3	1	0	2	4
2	0	2	3	4	1
3	1	0	4	3	2
4	2	4	1	0	3

Table 1: The binary operation $(\cdot)$ on Q_5

Remark 3.9. If $\sharp(X) \geq 2$, then the objects $I_{\mathrm{Rep}\,R}$ and (X, σ_R) are not isomorphic; hence, $\mathrm{Rep}\,R$ is non-trivial.

In [19, Section 6], we constructed bijective dynamical Yang-Baxter maps satisfying the weight zero condition in the case that $H = X$ (See Remark 3.6). The following is one more example, which is useful in Section 7.

Let Q_5 denote the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ of 5 elements with the binary operation $(\cdot)$ given by Table 1. Here, $0 \cdot 2 = 2$. Since each element of Q_5 appears once and only once in each row and in each column of Table 1, this Q_5 is a quasigroup [18, Definition I.1.1 and Theorem I.1.3].

Definition 3.10. A nonempty set Q with a binary operation $(\cdot)$ is a quasigroup, iff the translation maps $a\cdot$ and $\cdot a$ on Q are bijective for all $a \in Q$:

$$a\cdot : Q \ni x \mapsto a \cdot x \in Q; \;\; \cdot a : Q \ni x \mapsto x \cdot a \in Q. \tag{3.6}$$

Let μ denote the ternary operation on $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\mu(a, b, c) = a - b + c \quad (a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}).$$

The isomorphism $\pi : Q_5 \ni a \mapsto \bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$, together with the quasigroup Q_5 and the ternary system $(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}, \mu)$, gives birth to a bijective dynamical Yang-Baxter map $R^{Q_5}(\lambda)$ associated with Q_5, Q_5, and $(\cdot)$ satisfying the weight zero condition [20, Section 3]. In fact, the dynamical Yang-Baxter map $R^{Q_5}(\lambda)$ is defined as follows: for $\lambda, a, b \in Q_5$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^{Q_5}(\lambda)(a, b) = (\eta_\lambda(b)(a), \xi_\lambda(a)(b)),\\
&\xi_\lambda(a)(b) = \lambda \setminus \pi^{-1}(\mu(\pi(\lambda), \pi(\lambda \cdot a), \pi((\lambda \cdot a) \cdot b))),\\
&\eta_\lambda(b)(a) = (\lambda \cdot \xi_\lambda(a)(b)) \setminus ((\lambda \cdot a) \cdot b).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we denote by $a\setminus : Q_5 \ni b \mapsto a \setminus b \in Q_5$ $(a \in Q_5)$ the inverse of the translation map $a\cdot$ (3.6) on Q_5. Moreover, $R^{Q_5}(\lambda)$ satisfies the unitary condition [20, Section 7] and really depends on the parameter λ:

$$R^{Q_5}(0)(1, 2) = (4, 3) \text{ and } R^{Q_5}(1)(1, 2) = (4, 2). \tag{3.7}$$

4 (H, X)-algebras

Let H and X be nonempty sets, together with a map $(\cdot) : H \times X \ni (\lambda, x) \mapsto \lambda \cdot x \in H$. In order to define (H, X)-bialgebroids, this section is devoted to introducing (H, X)-algebras (cf. $\mathfrak{h}$-algebras [9, 15]), which form a category $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

From now on we make the following assumption. For any $x \in X$, the translation map

$$\cdot x : H \ni \lambda \mapsto \lambda \cdot x \in H \tag{4.1}$$

is bijective. For example, every quasigroup Q (Definition 3.10) satisfies the assumption in the case that $H = X = Q$.

This assumption produces a group W_X^H acting faithfully on the set H from the right. By the assumption, the translation map $\cdot x$ (4.1) is an element of the group $Aut^a(H)$ of all bijections on the set H, together with the product $fg = g \circ f$ $(f, g \in Aut^a(H))$; hence, there exists a unique homomorphism F from the free group W_X on the set X to $Aut^a(H)$ such that $F(x)(\lambda) = \lambda \cdot x$ for $\lambda \in H$ and $x \in X$ (This symbol F is a temporary notation). Let $\lambda \in H$ and $\alpha \in W_X$. We denote by $\lambda \cdot \alpha$ the element $F(\alpha)(\lambda) \in H$. This $(\cdot)$ is a right action of W_X on H, and consequently the factor group W_X^H of W_X by the kernel $\{\beta \in W_X; \lambda \cdot \beta = \lambda \ (\forall \lambda \in H)\}$ acts faithfully on H. For simplicity of notation, we write the induced right action of W_X^H as $\lambda\alpha$ $(\lambda \in H, \alpha \in W_X^H)$.

Proposition 4.1. *$W_X^H = (W_X^H, \cdot)$ is an object of* Vect_H.

Let M_H denote the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of all $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on H.

Definition 4.2. A unital $\mathbb{C}$-algebra A, together with a set $\{A_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha, \beta \in W_X^H\}$ consisting of $\mathbb{C}$-subspaces of A and $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphisms $\mu_l^A, \mu_r^A : M_H \to A$, is called an (H, X)-algebra, iff:

(1) $A = \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in W_X^H} A_{\alpha,\beta}$;

(2) $A_{\alpha,\beta} A_{\gamma,\delta} \subset A_{\alpha\gamma,\beta\delta}$ $(\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in W_X^H)$;

(3) $1_A \in A_{1,1}$;

(4) $\mu_l^A(f), \mu_r^A(f) \in A_{1,1}$ for any $f \in M_H$, and

$$\mu_l^A(f)\mu_r^A(g) = \mu_r^A(g)\mu_l^A(f) \quad (\forall f, g \in M_H); \tag{4.2}$$

(5) if $a \in A_{\alpha,\beta}$, then

$$a\mu_l^A(f) = \mu_l^A(T_\alpha(f))a, \text{ and } a\mu_r^A(f) = \mu_r^A(T_\beta(f))a \quad (\forall f \in M_H). \tag{4.3}$$

Here, 1 is the unit element of the factor group W_X^H. $T_\alpha(f) \in M_H$ is defined by

$$T_\alpha(f)(\lambda) = f(\lambda\alpha) \quad (\lambda \in H). \tag{4.4}$$

In this paper, $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphisms always respect the unit element. We note that the sum in (1) is not always a direct sum (See the end of Section 7).

Definition 4.3. The map $\varphi : A \to B$ is an (H, X)-algebra homomorphism, iff φ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism such that

$$\varphi(A_{\alpha,\beta}) \subset B_{\alpha,\beta}, \ \varphi \circ \mu_l^A = \mu_l^B, \text{ and } \varphi \circ \mu_r^A = \mu_r^B.$$

We will denote by $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)})$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)})$ the classes of all (H,X)-algebras and of all (H,X)-algebra homomorphisms, respectively.

Proposition 4.4. $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ *is a category.*

We give a construction which assigns an (H,X)-algebra $A_{W_X^H}$ to any unital $\mathbb{C}$-algebra A, together with $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphisms $\mu_l^A, \mu_r^A : M_H \to A$ satisfying (4.2). Let $(A_{W_X^H})_{\alpha,\beta}$ ($\alpha, \beta \in W_X^H$) denote the set of all elements a of A satisfying (4.3). This is a $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of A, and $A_{W_X^H} := \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in W_X^H} (A_{W_X^H})_{\alpha,\beta}$ with μ_l^A and μ_r^A is an (H,X)-algebra.

The unital $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $E(V) := \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}V))$ ($V \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Vect}_H)$ has the following $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphisms $\mu_l^{E(V)}, \mu_r^{E(V)} : M_H \to E(V)$:

$$\mu_l^{E(V)}(f)(g)(\lambda) = \sum_{v \in V} v f(\lambda v) g(\lambda)_v; \tag{4.5}$$

$$\mu_r^{E(V)}(f)(g)(\lambda) = f(\lambda) g(\lambda) \tag{4.6}$$

$$(\lambda \in H, f \in M_H, g \in \mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}V)).$$

For $g(\lambda)_v \in \mathbb{C}$, see (1.2). Because these homomorphisms satisfy (4.2), we obtain an (H,X)-algebra $E(V)_{W_X^H}$.

To end this section, we assign to any object V of the category Vect_H an object $D_{H,X}(V)$ of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$, which produces a dynamical representation in Section 8.

Let α and β be elements of the group W_X^H. We define the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}^V : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(V \bar{\otimes} \{\beta\}, \{\alpha\} \bar{\otimes} V) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}V))$ (See Proposition 2.4) by

$$\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}^V(u)(g)(\lambda) = \sum_{v \in V} v \sum_{w \in V} u(\lambda)_{(\alpha,v)(w,\beta)} g(\lambda\beta)_w$$

$$(u \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(V \bar{\otimes} \{\beta\}, \{\alpha\} \bar{\otimes} V), g \in \mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}V), \lambda \in H).$$

For $\{\alpha\}$ and $u(\lambda)_{(\alpha,v)(w,\beta)}$, see Propositions 2.5, 4.1, and (1.3), respectively.

Proposition 4.5. $\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}^V$ *is injective.*

We write $D_{H,X}(V)_{\alpha,\beta}$ for the image of the map $\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}^V$.

Let $u \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(V \bar{\otimes} \{\beta\}, \{\alpha\} \bar{\otimes} V)$ and $v \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(V \bar{\otimes} \{\delta\}, \{\gamma\} \bar{\otimes} V)$ ($\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in W_X^H$). Write $u *_V v$ for the following morphism of Vect_H whose source is $V \bar{\otimes} \{\beta\delta\}$ and whose target is $\{\alpha\gamma\} \bar{\otimes} V$.

$$\begin{aligned} u *_V v \quad = \quad & \iota_{\{\alpha\gamma\}}^{\{\gamma\}\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\}} \bar{\otimes} \mathrm{id}_V \circ a^{-1}_{\{\gamma\}\{\alpha\}V} \circ \mathrm{id}_{\{\gamma\}} \bar{\otimes} u \circ a_{\{\gamma\}V\{\beta\}} \circ \\ & \circ v \bar{\otimes} \mathrm{id}_{\{\beta\}} \circ a^{-1}_{V\{\delta\}\{\beta\}} \circ \mathrm{id}_V \bar{\otimes} \iota^{\{\beta\delta\}}_{\{\delta\}\bar{\otimes}\{\beta\}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

For $\iota_{\{\alpha\gamma\}}^{\{\gamma\}\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\}}$, see (2.7).

The proof of Proposition 4.6 is straightforward.

Proposition 4.6. $\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}^V(u) \Gamma_{\gamma,\delta}^V(v) = \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma,\beta\delta}^V(u *_V v)$.

From this proposition, $D_{H,X}(V)_{\alpha,\beta} D_{H,X}(V)_{\gamma,\delta} \subset D_{H,X}(V)_{\alpha\gamma,\beta\delta}$; furthermore,

Proposition 4.7. *$D_{H,X}(V) := \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in W_X^H} D_{H,X}(V)_{\alpha,\beta}$ with $\mu_l^{D_{H,X}(V)} := \mu_l^{E(V)}$ (4.5) and $\mu_r^{D_{H,X}(V)} := \mu_r^{E(V)}$ (4.6) is an object of* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

$D_{H,X}(V)_{\alpha,\delta}$ is relevant to $D_{H,X}(V)_{\beta,\gamma}$ ($\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta \in W_X^H$). Let f be an element of M_H. If $\alpha, \beta \in W_X^H$ satisfy that $\lambda\alpha = \lambda\beta$ unless $f(\lambda) = 0$, then we can define the morphism $f_{\alpha,\beta} : \{\beta\} \to \{\alpha\}$ of Vect_H by $f_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)(\beta) = f(\lambda)\alpha$ ($\lambda \in H$).

Let g be an element of M_H. We assume that $\gamma, \delta (\in W_X^H)$ satisfy that $\lambda\gamma = \lambda\delta$ unless $g(\lambda) = 0$. A simple computation shows

Proposition 4.8. *For $u \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(V\bar{\otimes}\{\gamma\}, \{\beta\}\bar{\otimes}V)$,*

$$\Gamma^V_{\alpha,\delta}(f_{\alpha,\beta}\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V \circ u \circ \mathrm{id}_V\bar{\otimes}g_{\gamma,\delta}) = \mu_l^{D_{H,X}(V)}(f)\mu_r^{D_{H,X}(V)}(g)\Gamma^V_{\beta,\gamma}(u).$$

Remark 4.9. What we have discussed and we will discuss in the following holds by replacing the group W_X^H with an arbitrary group G acting on H from the right. In this case the notion in Definition 4.2 will be called a G-algebra, and they form a category Alg_G. Just as Proposition 4.7, we can construct a G-algebra $D_G(V)$ for any object V of Vect_H.

5 Properties of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$

In this section, we will proceed with the study of the category $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$, which is essential in defining (H, X)-bialgebroids.

Let us first explain an object $I_{H,X}$ of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$, which is relevant to the object $D_{H,X}(I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H})$ (See Propositions 2.3 and 4.7). For $f \in M_H$, we define $f^\star \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_H)$ by

$$f^\star(g)(\lambda) = f(\lambda)g(\lambda) \quad (g \in M_H, \lambda \in H). \tag{5.1}$$

Let $(I_{H,X})_{\alpha,\beta}$ ($\alpha, \beta \in W_X^H$) denote the following $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_H)$.

$$(I_{H,X})_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} \{f^\star T_\alpha; f \in M_H\}, & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ \{0\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For T_α, see (4.4). We set $I_{H,X} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in W_X^H}(I_{H,X})_{\alpha,\beta}$, which is a $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_H)$. We define the maps $\mu_l^{I_{H,X}}, \mu_r^{I_{H,X}} : M_H \to (I_{H,X})_{1,1}$ by

$$\mu_l^{I_{H,X}}(f) = \mu_r^{I_{H,X}}(f) = f^\star T_1.$$

Proposition 5.1. *The $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $I_{H,X}$, together with $\{(I_{H,X})_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha, \beta \in W_X^H\}$, $\mu_l^{I_{H,X}}$, and $\mu_r^{I_{H,X}}$, is an object of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ (See Definition 4.2).*

We can construct a morphism $\varphi_0 : I_{H,X} \to D_{H,X}(I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H})$ of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. Define the $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism $\bar{\varphi}_0$ from M_H to $\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H})$ by $\bar{\varphi}_0(f)(\lambda) = f(\lambda)e$ ($I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H} = \{e\}, f \in M_H, \lambda \in H$). For $u \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_H)$, we set $\varphi_0(u) = \bar{\varphi}_0 \circ u \circ (\bar{\varphi}_0)^{-1}$. This $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism φ_0 satisfies that $\varphi_0(I_{H,X}) \subset D_{H,X}(I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H})$. To simplify notation, we continue to write φ_0 for $\varphi_0|_{I_{H,X}}$.

Proposition 5.2. $\varphi_0 : I_{H,X} \to D_{H,X}(I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)})$.

Let A and B be objects of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. The next task is to define an object $A\widetilde{\otimes}B$ of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$, called a matrix tensor product (cf. [9, Section 4]), which produces a functor $\widetilde{\otimes} : \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)} \times \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)} \to \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

Write $I_2 = I_2(A, B)$ for the right ideal of $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B$ generated by the elements

$$\{\mu_r^A(f) \otimes 1_B - 1_A \otimes \mu_l^B(f); f \in M_H\}. \tag{5.2}$$

Let α and β be elements of the group W_X^H. We denote by $(A\widetilde{\otimes}B)_{\alpha,\beta}$ the $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B/I_2$ generated by $\{\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 + I_2; \xi_1 \in A_{\alpha,\gamma}, \xi_2 \in B_{\gamma,\beta}\ (\exists\gamma \in W_X^H)\}$. We set $A\widetilde{\otimes}B = \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in W_X^H}(A\widetilde{\otimes}B)_{\alpha,\beta}(\subset (A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B)/I_2)$.

For $x = \xi_x + I_2, y = \xi_y + I_2 \in A\widetilde{\otimes}B$, define a product on $A\widetilde{\otimes}B$ by $xy = \xi_x\xi_y + I_2$. Although I_2 is a right ideal, this definition of the product makes sense.

The maps $\mu_l^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B}, \mu_r^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B} : M_H \to (A\widetilde{\otimes}B)_{1,1}$ are given as follows. For $f \in M_H$, $\mu_l^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B}(f) = \mu_l^A(f) \otimes 1_B + I_2$, and $\mu_r^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B}(f) = 1_A \otimes \mu_r^B(f) + I_2$. By taking account of (4.2) and (5.2), these maps are $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphisms from M_H to $A\widetilde{\otimes}B$.

Proposition 5.3. *$A\widetilde{\otimes}B$ with $\{(A\widetilde{\otimes}B)_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha, \beta \in W_X^H\}$, $\mu_l^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B}$, $\mu_r^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B}$, and the unit $1_{A\widetilde{\otimes}B} = 1_A \otimes 1_B + I_2$ is an object of* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

Remark 5.4. On account of (4.3) and (5.2), the matrix tensor product $A\widetilde{\otimes}B$ of (H, X)-algebras A and B is a $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra of the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $A \times_{M_H} B$ [21] (See [15, Remark 2.1]).

Two morphisms $f : A \to C$ and $g : B \to D$ of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ induce a morphism $f\widetilde{\otimes}g : A\widetilde{\otimes}B \to C\widetilde{\otimes}D$ of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. Let $f\widetilde{\otimes}g$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map from $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B/I_2(A, B)$ to $C \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D/I_2(C, D)$ defined by

$$(f\widetilde{\otimes}g)(\xi + I_2(A, B)) = (f \otimes g)(\xi) + I_2(C, D) \quad (\xi \in A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B).$$

Here, $I_2(A, B) \subset A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B$ is the right ideal generated by the elements (5.2). Since $(f \otimes g)(I_2(A, B)) \subset I_2(C, D)$, the definition of the map $f\widetilde{\otimes}g$ is unambiguous. Because $f\widetilde{\otimes}g((A\widetilde{\otimes}B)_{\alpha,\beta}) \subset (C\widetilde{\otimes}D)_{\alpha,\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in W_X^H$, we use the same symbol $f\widetilde{\otimes}g$ for the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $(f\widetilde{\otimes}g)|_{A\widetilde{\otimes}B} : A\widetilde{\otimes}B \to C\widetilde{\otimes}D$.

Proposition 5.5. *The map $f\widetilde{\otimes}g$ is a morphism of* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. *Moreover, $\widetilde{\otimes} : \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)} \times \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)} \to \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ is a functor.*

Let A, B, and C be objects of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. The final task of this section is to introduce a functor $-\widetilde{\otimes} - \widetilde{\otimes}- : \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)} \times \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)} \times \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)} \to \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. We first define (H, X)-algebras $A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l$ and $A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r$, whose definitions are different from those of the (H, X)-algebras $(A\widetilde{\otimes}B)\widetilde{\otimes}C$ and $A\widetilde{\otimes}(B\widetilde{\otimes}C)$, respectively.

We use the symbol $I_3^l = I_3^l(A, B, C)$ for the right ideal of $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C$ generated by the elements

$$\{(\mu_r^A(f) \otimes 1_B) \otimes 1_C - (1_A \otimes \mu_l^B(f)) \otimes 1_C; f \in M_H\}$$

$$\cup\{(1_A \otimes \mu_r^B(g)) \otimes 1_C - (1_A \otimes 1_B) \otimes \mu_l^C(g); g \in M_H\}. \tag{5.3}$$

Let α and β be elements of the group W_X^H. Let $(A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l)_{\alpha,\beta}$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of $(A\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}B)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}C/I_3^l$ generated by $\{(\xi_1\otimes\xi_2)\otimes\xi_3+I_3^l; \xi_1 \in A_{\alpha,\gamma}, \xi_2 \in B_{\gamma,\delta}, \xi_3 \in C_{\delta,\beta}\ (\exists\gamma,\delta \in W_X^H)\}$. Set $A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l = \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in W_X^H}(A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l)_{\alpha,\beta}(\subset (A\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}B)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}C/I_3^l)$.

For $x = \xi_x + I_3^l, y = \xi_y + I_3^l \in A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l$, we define a product on $A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l$ by $xy = \xi_x\xi_y + I_3^l$. This product is well defined, though I_3^l is a right ideal.

We denote by $\mu_l^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l}$ and $\mu_r^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l}$ the following maps from M_H to $(A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l)_{1,1}$. For $f \in M_H$:

$$\mu_l^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l}(f) = (\mu_l^A(f)\otimes 1_B)\otimes 1_C + I_3^l;\ \mu_r^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l}(f) = (1_A\otimes 1_B)\otimes\mu_r^C(f) + I_3^l.$$

Because of (4.2) and (5.3), these maps are $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphisms from M_H to $A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l$.

Proposition 5.6. *The $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l$, together with $\{(A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l)_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha,\beta \in W_X^H\}$, $\mu_l^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l}$, $\mu_r^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l}$, and the unit $1_{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l} = (1_A\otimes 1_B)\otimes 1_C + I_3^l$, is an object of* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

The definition of the (H,X)-algebra $A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r$ is similar. Let I_3^r denote the right ideal of $A\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}(B\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}C)$ generated by

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\mu_r^A(f)\otimes(1_B\otimes 1_C) - 1_A\otimes(\mu_l^B(f)\otimes 1_C); f\in M_H\}\\ &\cup\{1_A\otimes(\mu_r^B(g)\otimes 1_C) - 1_A\otimes(1_B\otimes\mu_l^C(g)); g\in M_H\}.\end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha,\beta\in W_X^H$, we write $(A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r)_{\alpha,\beta}$ for the $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of $A\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}(B\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}C)/I_3^r$ generated by $\{\xi_1\otimes(\xi_2\otimes\xi_3)+I_3^r; \xi_1\in A_{\alpha,\gamma}, \xi_2\in B_{\gamma,\delta}, \xi_3\in C_{\delta,\beta}\ (\exists\gamma,\delta\in W_X^H)\}$; in addition, set $A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r = \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in W_X^H}(A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r)_{\alpha,\beta}$.

For $x = \xi_x + I_3^r, y = \xi_y + I_3^r \in A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r$, we define a product on $A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r$ by $xy = \xi_x\xi_y + I_3^r$.

We denote by $\mu_l^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r}$ and $\mu_r^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r}$ the following maps from M_H to $(A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r)_{1,1}$. For $f\in M_H$:

$$\mu_l^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r}(f) = \mu_l^A(f)\otimes(1_B\otimes 1_C) + I_3^r;\ \mu_r^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r}(f) = 1_A\otimes(1_B\otimes\mu_r^C(f)) + I_3^r.$$

Proposition 5.7. *The $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r$, together with $\{(A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r)_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha,\beta\in W_X^H\}$, $\mu_l^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r}$, $\mu_r^{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r}$, and the unit $1_{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r} = 1_A\otimes(1_B\otimes 1_C) + I_3^r$, is an object of* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

Let $\bar{\Gamma}$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism from $A\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}(B\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}C)$ to $(A\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}B)\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}C$ satisfying $\bar{\Gamma}(a\otimes(b\otimes c)) = (a\otimes b)\otimes c$. This isomorphism $\bar{\Gamma}$ implies an isomorphism $\Gamma : A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r \to A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l$ of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. Here and subsequently, we let $A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C$ stand for the (H,X)-algebra $A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l(=\Gamma(A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r))$.

Let $f : A\to A'$, $g : B\to B'$, and $h : C\to C'$ be morphisms of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. Define the map $(f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h)^{\sim} : (A\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}B)\times C \to (A'\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}B')\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}C'/I_3^{l\prime}$ by

$$(f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h)^{\sim}(w,c) = (f\otimes g)(w)\otimes h(c) + I_3^{l\prime} \quad (w\in A\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}B, c\in C).$$

Here, ${I_3^l}' = I_3^l(A', B', C')$ (5.3).

This map is $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear; for this reason, there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $(f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h)^{-} : (A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C \to (A' \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B') \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C'/{I_3^l}'$ such that $(f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h)^{-}(w \otimes c) = (f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h)^{\sim}(w, c)$. We denote by $f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h$ the following map from $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C/I_3^l$ to $(A' \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B') \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C'/{I_3^l}'$: $f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h(\xi + I_3^l) = (f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h)^{-}(\xi)$ $(\xi \in (A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C)$. Here, $I_3^l = I_3^l(A, B, C)$. By Definition 4.3, $(f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h)^{-}(I_3^l) \subset \{{I_3^l}'\}$, and the definition of the map $f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h$ makes sense as a result.

The map $f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h$ satisfies $f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h((A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C)_{\alpha,\beta}) \subset (A'\widetilde{\otimes}B'\widetilde{\otimes}C')_{\alpha,\beta}$ $(\alpha, \beta \in W_X^H)$. By abuse of notation, we continue to write $f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h$ for the restriction $f\widetilde{\otimes}g\widetilde{\otimes}h|_{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C} : A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C \to A'\widetilde{\otimes}B'\widetilde{\otimes}C'$.

Proposition 5.8. $-\widetilde{\otimes} - \widetilde{\otimes}- : \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)} \times \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)} \times \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)} \to \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ *is a functor.*

6 (H, X)-bialgebroids

This section is devoted to introducing (H, X)-bialgebroids (cf. the $\mathfrak{h}$-bialgebroid [9, Section 4.1] and the $\times_{M_H}$-bialgebra [21, Definition 4.5]); the definition is based on a notion of a pre-tensor category, which is important in the proof of Theorem 9.7.

Definition 6.1. A pre-tensor category is a category C, together with functors $\widetilde{\otimes} : C \times C \to C$, $-\widetilde{\otimes} - \widetilde{\otimes}- : C \times C \times C \to C$, an object I of C, and natural transformations $a^l : \widetilde{\otimes}(\widetilde{\otimes} \times \mathrm{id}) \to -\widetilde{\otimes}-\widetilde{\otimes}-$, $a^r : \widetilde{\otimes}(\mathrm{id} \times \widetilde{\otimes}) \to -\widetilde{\otimes}-\widetilde{\otimes}-$, $l : \widetilde{\otimes}(I \times \mathrm{id}) \to \mathrm{id}$, $r : \widetilde{\otimes}(\mathrm{id} \times I) \to \mathrm{id}$. We denote it by $(C, \widetilde{\otimes}, -\widetilde{\otimes} - \widetilde{\otimes}-, I, a^l, a^r, l, r)$.

The pre-tensor category is a generalization of the tensor category.

Proposition 6.2. *The category* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ *is a pre-tensor category.*

Taking Propositions 5.1, 5.5, and 5.8 into account, we need only construct natural transformations a^l, a^r, l, and r for the proof.

Let A, B, and C be objects of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. Let us introduce the temporary notation F for the $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear map from $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B \times C$ to $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C$ defined by $F(x, c) = x \otimes c$ $(x \in A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B, c \in C)$. We write $\bar{F}$ for the following map from $A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B/I_2(A, B) \times C$ to $((A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C)/I_3^l$.

$$\bar{F}(x + I_2(A, B), c) = F(x, c) + I_3^l \quad (x \in A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B).$$

For $I_2(A, B)$ and $I_3^l = I_3^l(A, B, C)$, see (5.2) and (5.3). The definition of this map $\bar{F}$ is unambiguous, because $F(I_2(A, B), C) \subset I_3^l$. By abuse of notation, we use the same symbol $\bar{F}$ for the map $\bar{F}|_{A\widetilde{\otimes}B\times C} : A\widetilde{\otimes}B \times C \to ((A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C)/I_3^l$. Since $\bar{F}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear, there uniquely exists a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\bar{\Phi}_1 : (A\widetilde{\otimes}B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C \to ((A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C)/I_3^l$ such that $\bar{\Phi}_1(x \otimes c) = \bar{F}(x, c)$ for $x \in A\widetilde{\otimes}B$ and $c \in C$.

This $\bar{\Phi}_1$ yields the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map ${a^l}_{A,B,C} : (A\widetilde{\otimes}B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C/I_2(A\widetilde{\otimes}B, C) \to ((A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C)/I_3^l$ defined by ${a^l}_{A,B,C}(x + I_2(A\widetilde{\otimes}B, C)) = \bar{\Phi}_1(x)$ $(x \in (A\widetilde{\otimes}B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C)$. Because the map ${a^l}_{A,B,C}$ satisfies ${a^l}_{A,B,C}((A\widetilde{\otimes}B)\widetilde{\otimes}C) \subset A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C$ $(= A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^l)$, we continue to write ${a^l}_{A,B,C}$ for the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map ${a^l}_{A,B,C}|_{(A\widetilde{\otimes}B)\widetilde{\otimes}C} : (A\widetilde{\otimes}B)\widetilde{\otimes}C \to A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C$.

Proposition 6.3. *The map* $a^l_{A,B,C}$ *is a morphism of* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$*. Furthermore,* $a^l : \widetilde{\otimes}(\widetilde{\otimes} \times \mathrm{id}) \to -\widetilde{\otimes} - \widetilde{\otimes} -$ *is a natural transformation.*

We will use the temporary notation $\Phi'_2 : A\widetilde{\otimes}(B\widetilde{\otimes}C) \to A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r$ for the morphism of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ defined similarly to $a^l_{A,B,C}$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi'_2((a \otimes (b \otimes c + I_2(B,C))) + I_2(A, B\widetilde{\otimes}C)) = a \otimes (b \otimes c) + I^r_3\\ &(a \in A_{\alpha,\gamma}, b \in B_{\gamma,\delta}, c \in C_{\delta,\beta}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in W^H_X).\end{aligned}$$

Let $a^r_{A,B,C}$ denote the morphism $\Gamma \circ \Phi'_2 : A\widetilde{\otimes}(B\widetilde{\otimes}C) \to A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C$ of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. For the isomorphism $\Gamma : A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C^r \to A\widetilde{\otimes}B\widetilde{\otimes}C$, see the previous section.

Proposition 6.4. *This* $a^r : \widetilde{\otimes}(\mathrm{id} \times \widetilde{\otimes}) \to -\widetilde{\otimes} - \widetilde{\otimes} -$ *is a natural transformation.*

What is left is to introduce natural transformations $l : \widetilde{\otimes}(I_{H,X} \times \mathrm{id}) \to \mathrm{id}$ and $r : \widetilde{\otimes}(\mathrm{id} \times I_{H,X}) \to \mathrm{id}$ (For $I_{H,X}$, see Proposition 5.1).

Let A be an object of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. We write $\bar{l}_A$ (resp. $\bar{r}_A$) for the following map from $I_{H,X} \times A$ to A (resp. from $A \times I_{H,X}$ to A). For $U \in I_{H,X}$ and $a \in A$,

$$\bar{l}_A(U, a) = \mu^A_l(U(1_{M_H}))a \quad (\text{resp. } \bar{r}_A(a, U) = \mu^A_r(U(1_{M_H}))a).$$

Here, $1_{M_H} \in M_H$ is the unit element of the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra M_H:

$$1_{M_H}(\lambda) = 1 \quad (\lambda \in H). \tag{6.1}$$

Because $\bar{l}_A$ (resp. $\bar{r}_A$) is $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear, there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\widetilde{l}_A : I_{H,X} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A \to A$ (resp. $\widetilde{r}_A : A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} I_{H,X} \to A$) such that $\widetilde{l}_A(U \otimes a) = \bar{l}_A(U, a)$ (resp. $\widetilde{r}_A(a \otimes U) = \bar{r}_A(a, U)$).

Lemma 6.5. $\widetilde{l}_A(I_2(I_{H,X}, A)) = \widetilde{r}_A(I_2(A, I_{H,X})) = \{0\}$.

Proof. We will only show that $\widetilde{l}_A(I_2(I_{H,X}, A)) \subset \{0\}$. It suffices to prove

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{l}_A((\mu^{I_{H,X}}_r(f) \otimes 1_A - 1_{I_{H,X}} \otimes \mu^A_l(f))(U \otimes a)) = 0\\ &(f \in M_H, U \in I_{H,X}, a \in A).\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

By the definition of $\widetilde{l}_A$,

$$\text{LHS of (6.2)} = \mu^A_l((\mu^{I_{H,X}}_r(f)U)(1_{M_H}))a - \mu^A_l(U(1_{M_H}))\mu^A_l(f)a. \tag{6.3}$$

Simple calculation shows that $(\mu^{I_{H,X}}_r(f)U)(1_{M_H}) = U(1_{M_H})f$. Since μ^A_l is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism by Definition 4.2, (RHS of (6.3))$= 0$. This is the desired conclusion. □

We define the $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps $l_A : I_{H,X} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A/I_2(I_{H,X}, A) \to A$ and $r_A : A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} I_{H,X}/I_2(A, I_{H,X}) \to A$ by $l_A(\xi + I_2(I_{H,X}, A)) = \widetilde{l}_A(\xi)$ $(\xi \in I_{H,X} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A)$ and $r_A(\xi' + I_2(A, I_{H,X})) = \widetilde{r}_A(\xi')$ $(\xi' \in A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} I_{H,X})$. Lemma 6.5 makes these definitions allowable. For simplicity of notation, we continue to write l_A and r_A for the $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps $l_A|_{I_{H,X}\widetilde{\otimes}A} : I_{H,X}\widetilde{\otimes}A \to A$ and $r_A|_{A\widetilde{\otimes}I_{H,X}} : A\widetilde{\otimes}I_{H,X} \to A$, respectively.

Proposition 6.6. *$l_A, r_A \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)})$. Moreover, $l : \widetilde{\otimes}(I_{H,X} \times \mathrm{id}) \to \mathrm{id}$ and $r : \widetilde{\otimes}(\mathrm{id} \times I_{H,X}) \to \mathrm{id}$ are natural transformations.*

The proof of Proposition 6.2 is therefore complete; that is, $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$, together with $\widetilde{\otimes}, -\widetilde{\otimes} - \widetilde{\otimes}-, I_{H,X}, a^l, a^r, l, r$, is a pre-tensor category.

A categorical bialgebroid of the pre-tensor category is an analogue of the coalgebra object of the tensor category.

Definition 6.7. A categorical bialgebroid A of a pre-tensor category C is an object of C, together with morphisms $\Delta : A \to A\widetilde{\otimes}A$, called coproduct, and $\varepsilon : A \to I$, called counit, of C such that:

$$a^l_{A,A,A} \circ \Delta\widetilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_A \circ \Delta = a^r_{A,A,A} \circ \mathrm{id}_A\widetilde{\otimes}\Delta \circ \Delta; \tag{6.4}$$

$$l_A \circ \varepsilon\widetilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_A \circ \Delta = \mathrm{id}_A = r_A \circ \mathrm{id}_A\widetilde{\otimes}\varepsilon \circ \Delta. \tag{6.5}$$

This definition agrees with the $\times_B$-bialgebra [21, Definition 4.5], which is equivalent to the bialgebroid [4]; in fact, $\times_B$-bialgebra is exactly a categorical bialgebroid of the pre-tensor category $\mathrm{Alg}_{B\otimes\bar{B}}$ consisting of algebras over $B \otimes \bar{B}$, together with functors $\times_B$, $- \times_B - \times_B -$, an object $\mathrm{End}(B)$, and natural transformations α, α', θ', θ (For notations, see [21, Section 3]).

Definition 6.8. An (H, X)-bialgebroid is a categorical bialgebroid of the pre-tensor category $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

Remark 6.9. The (H, X)-bialgebroid is a bialgebroid [16]: the coproduct is exactly the same as $\Delta : A \to A\widetilde{\otimes}A \subset A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A/I_2(A, A)$; the counit ε' is defined by $\varepsilon'(a) = \varepsilon(a)(1_{M_H}) \in M_H$ $(a \in A)$; the left and the right actions of M_H on A are respectively given by the multiplications of $\mu^A_l(f)$ and $\mu^A_r(f)$ $(f \in M_H)$ from the left.

7 (H, X)-bialgebroid A_R

In this section, we construct an (H, X)-bialgebroid A_σ associated with a morphism $\sigma : X\bar{\otimes}X \to X\bar{\otimes}X$ of Vect_H (cf. [9]). As a result, every bijective dynamical Yang-Baxter map $R(\lambda)$ satisfying the weight zero condition (3.4) gives birth to the (H, X)-bialgebroid $A_R := A_{\sigma_R}$ (For σ_R, see Proposition 3.7).

For the definition, we require the set X to be finite; and we will assume that X is finite, whenever we deal with the (H, X)-bialgebroid A_σ.

Let HX denote the set

$$M_H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_H \sqcup \{L_{ab}; a, b \in X\} \sqcup \{(L^{-1})_{ab}; a, b \in X\}. \tag{7.1}$$

Here M_H is the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of all $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on H. Moreover, we write $\mathbb{C}\{HX\}$ for the free $\mathbb{C}$-algebra on the set HX [14, Section I.2].

Definition 7.1. A_σ is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}\{HX\}$ by the two-sided ideal I_σ whose generators are the following (1)–(5).

(1) $\xi+\xi'-(\xi+\xi')$ $(\xi,\xi'\in M_H\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}M_H)$,
$c\xi-(c\xi)$ $(c\in\mathbb{C},\xi\in M_H\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}M_H)$,
$\xi\xi'-(\xi\xi')$ $(\xi,\xi'\in M_H\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}M_H)$.
Here the symbol $+$ in $\xi+\xi'$ means the addition in the algebra $\mathbb{C}\{HX\}$, while the symbol $+$ in $(\xi+\xi')(\in HX)$ is the addition in the algebra $M_H\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}M_H$. The notations of the scalar products and products in the other generators are similar.

(2) $\sum_{c\in X}L_{ac}(L^{-1})_{cb}-\delta_{ab}\emptyset$,
$\sum_{c\in X}(L^{-1})_{ac}L_{cb}-\delta_{ab}\emptyset$ $(a,b\in X)$.
Here $\emptyset(\in\mathbb{C}\{HX\})$ and δ_{ab} mean the empty word and Kronecker's delta symbol, respectively.

(3) $(T_{[a]}(f)\otimes 1_{M_H})L_{ab}-L_{ab}(f\otimes 1_{M_H})$,
$(1_{M_H}\otimes T_{[b]}(f))L_{ab}-L_{ab}(1_{M_H}\otimes f)$,
$(f\otimes 1_{M_H})(L^{-1})_{ab}-(L^{-1})_{ab}(T_{[b]}(f)\otimes 1_{M_H})$,
$(1_{M_H}\otimes f)(L^{-1})_{ab}-(L^{-1})_{ab}(1_{M_H}\otimes T_{[a]}(f))$ $(a,b\in X,f\in M_H)$.
For $T_{[a]}(f)$ and 1_{M_H}, see (4.4) and (6.1), respectively.

(4) $\sum_{x,y\in X}(\sigma_{ac}^{xy}\otimes 1_{M_H})L_{yd}L_{xb}-\sum_{x,y\in X}(1_{M_H}\otimes\sigma_{xy}^{bd})L_{cy}L_{ax}$ $(a,b,c,d\in X)$.
Here $\sigma_{ac}^{xy}\in M_H$ is defined by $\sigma_{ac}^{xy}(\lambda)=\sigma(\lambda)_{(a,c)(x,y)}$ (See (1.3)).

(5) $\emptyset-1_{M_H}\otimes 1_{M_H}$.

The sums in the generators (2) and (4) make sense, because the set X is finite.

Let $\widetilde{HX}$ denote the set of all words $x_1x_2\cdots x_n$ in the alphabet $HX(\ni x_i)$ including the empty word $\emptyset$. We define the map $w_l:\widetilde{HX}\to W_X^H$ as follows (For W_X^H, see Section 4): if $x\in HX$, then

$$w_l(x)=\begin{cases}1, & x\in M_H\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}M_H,\\ [a], & x=L_{ab},\\ [b^{-1}], & x=(L^{-1})_{ab};\end{cases}$$

if $w=x_1x_2\cdots x_n\in\widetilde{HX}$, then $w_l(w)=w_l(x_1)w_l(x_2)\cdots w_l(x_n)$; and we set $w_l(\emptyset)=1$.

The map $w_r:\widetilde{HX}\to W_X^H$ is similarly defined: if $x\in HX$, then

$$w_r(x)=\begin{cases}1, & x\in M_H\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}M_H,\\ [b], & x=L_{ab},\\ [a^{-1}], & x=(L^{-1})_{ab};\end{cases}$$

if $w=x_1x_2\cdots x_n\in\widetilde{HX}$, then $w_r(w)=w_r(x_1)w_r(x_2)\cdots w_r(x_n)$; and we write $w_r(\emptyset)=1$.

Let α and β be elements of W_X^H. We write $\mathbb{C}\{HX\}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $(A_\sigma)_{\alpha,\beta}$ for the subspaces of $\mathbb{C}\{HX\}$ and A_σ generated by the sets $\{w;w\in\widetilde{HX},w_l(w)=\alpha,w_r(w)=\beta\}$ and $\{w+I_\sigma;w\in\widetilde{HX},w_l(w)=\alpha,w_r(w)=\beta\}$, respectively. Obviously, $\mathbb{C}\{HX\}=$

$\oplus_{\alpha,\beta\in W_X^H}\mathbb{C}\{HX\}_{\alpha,\beta}$, while the algebra A_σ is not always a direct sum of the components $\{(A_\sigma)_{\alpha,\beta};\alpha,\beta\in W_X^H\}$. For more details, see the end of this section.

The maps $\mu_l^{A_\sigma},\mu_r^{A_\sigma}:M_H\to(A_\sigma)_{1,1}$ are defined as follows. For $f\in M_H$,

$$\mu_l^{A_\sigma}(f)=f\otimes 1_{M_H}+I_\sigma;\mu_r^{A_\sigma}(f)=1_{M_H}\otimes f+I_\sigma. \tag{7.2}$$

Proposition 7.2. *A_σ, together with $\{(A_\sigma)_{\alpha,\beta};\alpha,\beta\in W_X^H\}$, $\mu_l^{A_\sigma}$, and $\mu_r^{A_\sigma}$, is an object of* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ *(See Definition 4.2).*

The next task is to define the coproduct $\Delta:A_\sigma\to A_\sigma\widetilde{\otimes}A_\sigma$. Let Δ_{HX} denote the following map from HX to $A_\sigma\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}A_\sigma$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_{HX}(\xi)=\mu_l^{A_\sigma}\otimes\mu_r^{A_\sigma}(\xi)\quad(\xi\in M_H\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}M_H);\\
&\Delta_{HX}(L_{ab})=\sum_{c\in X}L_{ac}+I_\sigma\otimes L_{cb}+I_\sigma;\\
&\Delta_{HX}((L^{-1})_{ab})=\sum_{c\in X}(L^{-1})_{cb}+I_\sigma\otimes(L^{-1})_{ac}+I_\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

This map uniquely induces a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $\bar{\Delta}:\mathbb{C}\{HX\}\to A_\sigma\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}A_\sigma$ such that $\bar{\Delta}(v)=\Delta_{HX}(v)$ $(v\in HX)$.

Proposition 7.3. $\bar{\Delta}(I_\sigma)\subset I_2=I_2(A_\sigma,A_\sigma)$ *(See (5.2)).*

Proof. Since the right ideal I_2 satisfies that $\bar{\Delta}(\mathbb{C}\{HX\})I_2\subset I_2$, it suffices to prove that $\bar{\Delta}(x)\in I_2$ for every generator x in Definition 7.1.

If x is one of the generators (1)–(3) and (5), then $\bar{\Delta}(x)=0_{A_\sigma\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}A_\sigma}\in I_2$. Because $\sum_{x,y\in X}(\sigma_{ac}^{xy}\otimes 1_{M_H})L_{yd}L_{xb}-\sum_{x,y\in X}(1_{M_H}\otimes\sigma_{xy}^{bd})L_{cy}L_{ax}\in I_\sigma$, the definitions of $\bar{\Delta}$, $\mu_l^{A_\sigma}$, and $\mu_r^{A_\sigma}$ induce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\Delta}(\sum_{x,y\in X}(\sigma_{ac}^{xy}\otimes 1_{M_H})L_{yd}L_{xb}-\sum_{x,y\in X}(1_{M_H}\otimes\sigma_{xy}^{bd})L_{cy}L_{ax})\\
=&\sum_{x,y,e,f\in X}(\mu_r^{A_\sigma}(\sigma_{xy}^{ef})\otimes 1_{A_\sigma}-1_{A_\sigma}\otimes\mu_l^{A_\sigma}(\sigma_{xy}^{ef}))(L_{cy}L_{ax}+I_\sigma\otimes L_{fd}L_{eb}+I_\sigma)\\
\in&\ I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ☐

We define the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\widetilde{\Delta}:A_\sigma\to A_\sigma\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}A_\sigma/I_2$ by

$$\widetilde{\Delta}(v+I_\sigma)=\bar{\Delta}(v)+I_2\quad(v\in\mathbb{C}\{HX\}).$$

By virtue of Proposition 7.3, the map $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is well defined.

Since $\bar{\Delta}(\mathbb{C}\{HX\}_{\alpha,\beta})\subset\sum_{\gamma\in W_X^H}(A_\sigma)_{\alpha,\gamma}\otimes(A_\sigma)_{\gamma,\beta}$ $(\alpha,\beta\in W_X^H)$, $\widetilde{\Delta}$ satisfies $\widetilde{\Delta}(A_\sigma)\subset A_\sigma\widetilde{\otimes}A_\sigma$. Set $\Delta=\widetilde{\Delta}|_{A_\sigma}:A_\sigma\to A_\sigma\widetilde{\otimes}A_\sigma$. On account of Definition 4.3,

Proposition 7.4. *Δ is a morphism of* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

The next is to construct the counit $\varepsilon : A_\sigma \to I_{H,X}$ (For $I_{H,X}$, see Proposition 5.1). Let ε_{HX} denote the following map from HX to $I_{H,X}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon_{HX}(\xi) = m(\xi)^\star T_1 \in (I_{H,X})_{1,1} = (I_{H,X})_{w_l(\xi),w_r(\xi)} \quad (\xi \in M_H);\\
&\varepsilon_{HX}(L_{ab}) = \delta_{ab}T_{[a]}(= \delta_{ab}T_{[b]}) \in (I_{H,X})_{[a],[b]} = (I_{H,X})_{w_l(L_{ab}),w_r(L_{ab})};\\
&\varepsilon_{HX}((L^{-1})_{ab}) = \delta_{ab}T_{[b^{-1}]}(= \delta_{ab}T_{[a^{-1}]})\\
&\qquad\qquad \in (I_{H,X})_{[b^{-1}],[a^{-1}]} = (I_{H,X})_{w_l((L^{-1})_{ab}),w_r((L^{-1})_{ab})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $m : M_H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_H \to M_H$ is a temporary notation of the multiplication defined by $m(f \otimes g) = fg$ $(f, g \in M_H)$; for $m(\xi)^\star$, see (5.1). This map ε_{HX} uniquely induces a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $\bar{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{C}\{HX\} \to I_{H,X}$ such that $\bar{\varepsilon}(v) = \varepsilon_{HX}(v)$ $(v \in HX)$.

Proposition 7.5. $\bar{\varepsilon}(I_\sigma) = \{0\}$.

Proof. We shall have established this proposition, if we prove that $\bar{\varepsilon} = 0$ on every generator of the ideal I_σ.

We will show the proof only for the generator (4) in Definition 7.1. Let g be an element of M_H. By the definition of $\bar{\varepsilon}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\varepsilon}\Big(\sum_{x,y\in X}(\sigma_{ac}^{xy} \otimes 1_{M_H})L_{yd}L_{xb} - \sum_{x,y\in X}(1_{M_H} \otimes \sigma_{xy}^{bd})L_{cy}L_{ax})(g)(\lambda)\\
&= \sigma_{ac}^{bd}(\lambda)(g((\lambda d)b) - g((\lambda c)a)) \quad (\lambda \in H).
\end{aligned}$$

Because σ is a morphism of Vect_H, $\sigma_{ac}^{bd}(\lambda) = 0$ unless $(\lambda d)b = (\lambda c)a$. Hence,

$$\bar{\varepsilon}\Big(\sum_{x,y\in X}(\sigma_{ac}^{xy} \otimes 1_{M_H})L_{yd}L_{xb} - \sum_{x,y\in X}(1_{M_H} \otimes \sigma_{xy}^{bd})L_{cy}L_{ax}) = 0,$$

which is the desired conclusion. □

We denote by ε the following $\mathbb{C}$-linear map from A_σ to $I_{H,X}$.

$$\varepsilon(v + I_\sigma) = \bar{\varepsilon}(v) \quad (v \in \mathbb{C}\{HX\}).$$

Proposition 7.5 makes this definition allowable.

Proposition 7.6. *The map ε is a morphism of* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

It is immediate that (6.4) and (6.5) hold on the generators $f \otimes g + I_\sigma$ $(f, g \in M_H)$, $L_{ab} + I_\sigma$, and $(L^{-1})_{ab} + I_\sigma$ $(a, b \in W_X^H)$ of A_σ. From Definitions 6.7 and 6.8,

Proposition 7.7. *A_σ is an (H, X)-bialgebroid.*

Let $R(\lambda)$ be a bijective dynamical Yang-Baxter map satisfying the weight zero condition (3.4). If the morphism $\sigma = \sigma_R$ (3.5), then the generators (4) of the ideal I_{σ_R} are

$$\sum_{x,y\in X}(R_{ac}^{xy} \otimes 1_{M_H})L_{xb}L_{yd} - \sum_{x,y\in X}(1_{M_H} \otimes R_{xy}^{bd})L_{cy}L_{ax} \quad (a, b, c, d \in X). \tag{7.3}$$

Here $R_{ac}^{xy} \in M_H$ is the following function.

$$R_{ac}^{xy}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & (a,c) = R(\lambda)(x,y), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

We will use the notations I_R and A_R instead of I_{σ_R} and A_{σ_R}, respectively. From Proposition 7.7,

Corollary 7.8. *A_R is an (H,X)-bialgebroid.*

We now recall the bijective dynamical Yang-Baxter map $R^{Q_5}(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in Q_5$) constructed at the end of Section 3. To shorten notation, we write $R(\lambda)$ for $R^{Q_5}(\lambda)$. Since Q_5 is a quasigroup (See Definition 3.10), Q_5 satisfies the assumption in the beginning of Section 4; that is, for any $x \in Q_5$, the translation map (4.1) $\cdot x : Q_5 \ni \lambda \mapsto \lambda \cdot x \in Q_5$ is bijective. Before ending this section, we show that the (Q_5, Q_5)-bialgebroid A_R is not a direct sum of the components $\{(A_R)_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha, \beta \in W_{Q_5}^{Q_5}\}$. This property is a characteristic feature that distinguish the (H,X)-bialgebroid A_R from the dynamical quantum group [9, Section 4.4].

We consider the element $(R_{43}^{12} \otimes 1_{M_{Q_5}})L_{11}L_{22} + I_R \in A_R$. By the definition of the counit $\varepsilon : A_R \to I_{H,X}$,

$$\varepsilon((R_{43}^{12} \otimes 1_{M_{Q_5}})L_{11}L_{22} + I_R) = (R_{43}^{12})^{\star} T_{[1]} T_{[2]}.$$

It follows from (3.7) that $R_{43}^{12}(0) = 1$, and $(R_{43}^{12})^{\star} T_{[1]} T_{[2]} (1_{M_{Q_5}})(0) = 1$ as a result. Therefore, $(R_{43}^{12} \otimes 1_{M_{Q_5}})L_{11}L_{22} + I_R \neq 0_{A_R}$.

The relation (7.3) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{43}^{12} \otimes 1_{M_{Q_5}})L_{11}L_{22} + I_R &= -\sum_{\substack{x,y \in Q_5 \\ (x,y) \neq (1,2)}} (R_{43}^{xy} \otimes 1_{M_{Q_5}})L_{x1}L_{y2} + I_R \\ &\quad + \sum_{x',y' \in Q_5} (1_{M_{Q_5}} \otimes R_{x'y'}^{12})L_{3y'}L_{4x'} + I_R. \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

From the definitions of w_l and w_r,

$$\begin{aligned} &(R_{43}^{xy} \otimes 1_{M_{Q_5}})L_{x1}L_{y2} + I_R \in (A_R)_{[xy],[12]} \quad (x,y \in Q_5), \\ &(1_{M_{Q_5}} \otimes R_{x'y'}^{12})L_{3y'}L_{4x'} + I_R \in (A_R)_{[34],[y'x']} \quad (x',y' \in Q_5). \end{aligned}$$

Because of Table 1, the equation $[xy] = [12]$ in $W_{Q_5}^{Q_5}$ ($x, y \in Q_5$) has a unique solution $(x,y) = (1,2)$. It follows from (7.4) that the (Q_5, Q_5)-bialgebroid A_R is not a direct sum of the components $\{(A_R)_{\alpha,\beta}; \alpha, \beta \in W_{Q_5}^{Q_5}\}$.

A crucial point is the weight zero condition (3.4) (cf. [13, Section 3.2]), which does not always imply that the function $R_{43}^{12} = 0$ unless $[12] = [34]$.

This example gives birth to the condition (1) in Definition 4.2 (cf. $\mathfrak{h}$-algebras [9, Section 4.1] and $\mathfrak{h}$-prealgebras [15, Section 2.1]). In addition, this condition (1) suggests that we employ morphisms $a_{A,A,A}^l$, $a_{A,A,A}^r$, l_A, and r_A of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ in Definition 6.8.

8 Dynamical representations

A dynamical representation of an (H, X)-algebra A is, by definition, a pair $\pi_V = (V, \pi_V)$ of $V \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Vect}_H)$ and $\pi_V : A \to D_{H,X}(V) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)})$ (cf. [9, Section 4.2]). For $D_{H,X}(V)$, see Proposition 4.7.

This section deals with two dynamical representations: one is the trivial representation of an arbitrary (H, X)-bialgebroid produced by its counit ε; and the other is the basic representation of the (H, X)-bialgebroid A_σ given by a Yang-Baxter operator σ on X in Vect_H. We also explain a category of dynamical representations before studying a tensor product of the dynamical representations in the next section.

Let A be an (H, X)-bialgebroid (Definitions 1.2 and 6.8). In view of Proposition 5.2, the map $\pi_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}} := \varphi_0 \circ \varepsilon : A \to D_{H,X}(I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H})$ is a morphism of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ (For I_{Vect_H}, see Section 2). Hence

Proposition 8.1. *The pair* $(I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}, \pi_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}})$ *is a dynamical representation of the* (H, X)*-bialgebroid* A*.*

Assume that the set X is finite, and let σ be a Yang-Baxter operator on X in Vect_H (Definition 3.3). We next define a dynamical representation (X, π_σ) of the (H, X)-bialgebroid A_σ (Proposition 7.7). For $X = (X, \cdot)$, see Proposition 3.5. Let $\bar{\pi}_\sigma$ denote the following map from HX (7.1) to $D_{H,X}(X)$ that is $\mathbb{C}$-linear on $M_H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_H$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\pi}_\sigma(f \otimes g) = \mu_l^{D_{H,X}(X)}(f)\mu_r^{D_{H,X}(X)}(g);\\
&\bar{\pi}_\sigma(L_{ab}) = \Gamma^X_{[a],[b]}(\bar{\pi}_\sigma(L_{ab})_{[a],[b]}),\\
&\bar{\pi}_\sigma(L_{ab})_{[a],[b]}(\lambda)(x, [b]) = \sum_{y \in X}([a], y)\sigma(\lambda)_{(a,y)(x,b)};\\
&\bar{\pi}_\sigma((L^{-1})_{ab}) = \Gamma^X_{[b^{-1}],[a^{-1}]}(\bar{\pi}_\sigma((L^{-1})_{ab})_{[b^{-1}],[a^{-1}]}),\\
&\bar{\pi}_\sigma((L^{-1})_{ab})_{[b^{-1}],[a^{-1}]}(\lambda)(x, [a^{-1}]) = \sum_{y \in X}([b^{-1}], y)(\sigma(\lambda[a^{-1}])^{-1})_{(y,a)(b,x)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $\mu_l^{D_{H,X}(X)}$, $\mu_r^{D_{H,X}(X)}$, and $\sigma(\lambda)_{(a,y)(x,b)}$, see Proposition 4.7 and (1.3), respectively. This map $\bar{\pi}_\sigma$ induces a morphism $\pi_\sigma : A_\sigma \to D_{H,X}(X)$ of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ (See Remark 11.14). As a result,

Proposition 8.2. *For a Yang-Baxter operator* σ *on* X *in* Vect_H*,* (X, π_σ) *is a dynamical representation of the* (H, X)*-bialgebroid* A_σ*.*

With the aid of Propositions 3.7 and 8.2, (X, π_{σ_R}) is a dynamical representation of the (H, X)-bialgebroid A_R (Corollary 7.8).

To end this section, we will introduce a category $\mathrm{DR}(A)$ of the dynamical representations of an (H, X)-algebra A. An object of $\mathrm{DR}(A)$ is, by definition, a dynamical representation of A. We denote by $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{DR}(A))$ the class of all objects.

Let $\pi_V = (V, \pi_V)$ and $\pi_W = (W, \pi_W)$ be objects of $\mathrm{DR}(A)$. A morphism $f : \pi_V \to \pi_W$ of $\mathrm{DR}(A)$ is a morphism $f : V \to W$ of the category Vect_H such that

$$\pi_W(a) \circ m_f = m_f \circ \pi_V(a) \quad (\forall a \in A). \tag{8.1}$$

Here, $m_f : \mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}V) \to \mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}W)$ is the following $\mathbb{C}$-linear map.

$$m_f(g)(\lambda) = f(\lambda)(g(\lambda)) \quad (g \in \mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}V), \lambda \in H). \tag{8.2}$$

Write $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{DR}(A))$ for the class of all morphisms of $\mathrm{DR}(A)$. For a morphism $f : \pi_V \to \pi_W \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{DR}(A))$, we call the objects π_V and π_W the source $s(f)$ and the target $b(f)$ of the morphism f, respectively.

Let $\mathrm{id}_{\pi_V} : \pi_V \to \pi_V$ denote the morphism $\mathrm{id}_V : V \to V \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Vect}_H)$.

For morphisms f and g of $\mathrm{DR}(A)$ such that $b(f) = s(g)$, $m_{g\circ f} = m_g \circ m_f$. Hence, the morphism $g \circ f$ of the category Vect_H is a morphism of $\mathrm{DR}(A)$. We define the composition $\circ$ of $\mathrm{DR}(A)$ by that of Vect_H.

Proposition 8.3. $\mathrm{DR}(A)$ *is a category.*

9 Tensor products of dynamical representations

Let A be an (H, X)-bialgebroid (Definitions 1.2 and 6.8). In this section, we explain the tensor product $\bar{\otimes} : \mathrm{DR}(A) \times \mathrm{DR}(A) \to \mathrm{DR}(A)$, which makes the category $\mathrm{DR}(A)$ a tensor category (cf. [9, Section 4.2]).

Let V and W be objects of the category Vect_H (See Section 2). We first define $\varphi_2(V, W) : D_{H,X}(V)\widetilde{\otimes}D_{H,X}(W) \to D_{H,X}(V\bar{\otimes}W) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)})$ (For $D_{H,X}(V)$ and $\widetilde{\otimes}$, see Propositions 4.7 and 5.3, respectively).

Let g be an element of $\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}(V\bar{\otimes}W))$. By using $g(\lambda)_{(v_1,v_2)}$ (1.2), we define the map $g^{(v)} \in \mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}W)$ $(v \in V)$ by

$$g^{(v)}(\lambda) = \sum_{v_2\in W} v_2 g(\lambda)_{(v,v_2)} \quad (\lambda \in H).$$

Let $v \in V$. For simplicity of notation, we use the same letter v for the constant map from H to $\mathbb{C}V$ whose value is always v; that is, $v(\lambda) = v$ $(\lambda \in H)$.

Let U be an element of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}V))$. We denote by $U^{(1)}$ the following element of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}(V\bar{\otimes}W)))$.

$$\begin{aligned} U^{(1)}(g)(\lambda) &= \sum_{(v_1,v_2)\in V\bar{\otimes}W} (v_1, v_2) \sum_{v_1'\in V} U(v_1')(\lambda v_2)_{v_1} g(\lambda)_{(v_1',v_2)} \\ &\quad (g \in \mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}(V\bar{\otimes}W)), \lambda \in H). \end{aligned}$$

Let U be an element of $D_{H,X}(W)$. From the definition,

$$U = \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in W_X^H} \Gamma^W_{\alpha,\beta}(u_{\alpha\beta}) \quad (\exists u_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(W\bar{\otimes}\{\beta\}, \{\alpha\}\bar{\otimes}W)).$$

We define the element $U^{(2)}$ of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}(V\bar{\otimes}W)))$ by

$$U^{(2)}(g)(\lambda) = \sum_{(v_1,v_2)\in V\bar{\otimes}W} (v_1, v_2) \sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\in W_X^H \\ v_2'\in W}} u_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda)_{(\alpha,v_2)(v_2',\beta)} g(\lambda\beta)_{(v_1,v_2')}$$

$$(g \in \mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}(V \bar{\otimes} W)), \lambda \in H).$$

Since $U^{(2)}(g)(\lambda) = \sum_{(v_1,v_2)\in V\bar{\otimes}W}(v_1, v_2)U(g^{(v_1)})(\lambda)_{v_2}$, the definition of $U^{(2)}$ is unambiguous.

Because the map

$$D_{H,X}(V) \times D_{H,X}(W) \ni (U_1, U_2) \mapsto U_1^{(1)} \circ U_2^{(2)} \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}(V \bar{\otimes} W)))$$

is $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear, there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\bar{\varphi}_2(V, W)$ from $D_{H,X}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D_{H,X}(W)$ to $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}(V \bar{\otimes} W)))$ such that

$$\bar{\varphi}_2(V, W)(U_1 \otimes U_2) = U_1^{(1)} \circ U_2^{(2)} \quad (U_1 \in D_{H,X}(V), U_2 \in D_{H,X}(W)). \tag{9.1}$$

We write I_2 for $I_2(D_{H,X}(V), D_{H,X}(W))$ (See (5.2)). The map $\bar{\varphi}_2(V, W)$ satisfies $\bar{\varphi}_2(V, W)(I_2) = \{0\}$, and it consequently induces the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map

$$\varphi_2(V, W) : D_{H,X}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D_{H,X}(W)/I_2 \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}(V \bar{\otimes} W)))$$

defined by $\varphi_2(V, W)(\xi + I_2) = \bar{\varphi}_2(V, W)(\xi)$ $(\xi \in D_{H,X}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D_{H,X}(W))$.

Let $v : V \bar{\otimes} \{\gamma\} \to \{\alpha\} \bar{\otimes} V$ and $w : W \bar{\otimes} \{\beta\} \to \{\gamma\} \bar{\otimes} W$ be morphisms of Vect_H $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in W_X^H)$. On account of (3.3), we define the morphism $v \boxtimes_\gamma w : (V \bar{\otimes} W) \bar{\otimes} \{\beta\} \to \{\alpha\} \bar{\otimes} (V \bar{\otimes} W)$ of Vect_H by

$$v \boxtimes_\gamma w = a_{\{\alpha\}VW} \circ (v \bar{\otimes} \mathrm{id}_W) \circ a^{-1}_{V\{\gamma\}W} \circ (\mathrm{id}_V \bar{\otimes} w) \circ a_{VW\{\beta\}}.$$

A simple computation shows

Proposition 9.1. $\varphi_2(V, W)(\Gamma^V_{\alpha,\gamma}(v) \otimes \Gamma^W_{\gamma,\beta}(w) + I_2) = \Gamma^{V\bar{\otimes}W}_{\alpha,\beta}(v \boxtimes_\gamma w)$.

From Propositions 5.3 and 9.1,

$$\varphi_2(V, W)((D_{H,X}(V) \tilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(W))_{\alpha,\beta}) \subset D_{H,X}(V \bar{\otimes} W)_{\alpha,\beta},$$

and consequently $\varphi_2(V, W)(D_{H,X}(V) \tilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(W)) \subset D_{H,X}(V \bar{\otimes} W)$. We continue to write $\varphi_2(V, W)$ for the map $\varphi_2(V, W)|_{D_{H,X}(V)\tilde{\otimes}D_{H,X}(W)}$.

Proposition 9.2. *$\varphi_2(V, W) : D_{H,X}(V) \tilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(W) \to D_{H,X}(V \bar{\otimes} W)$ is a morphism of* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

The above proposition yields that, for $\pi_V = (V, \pi_V), \pi_W = (W, \pi_W) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{DR}(A))$, $\pi_V \bar{\otimes} \pi_W := \varphi_2(V, W) \circ \pi_V \tilde{\otimes} \pi_W \circ \Delta : A \to D_{H,X}(V \bar{\otimes} W)$ is a morphism of the category $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. Therefore, $\pi_V \bar{\otimes} \pi_W := (V \bar{\otimes} W, \pi_V \bar{\otimes} \pi_W)$ is an object of $\mathrm{DR}(A)$, which is called a tensor product of the objects π_V and π_W.

Proposition 9.3. *For $f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{DR}(A))$, the tensor product $f \bar{\otimes} g$ in Vect_H is a morphism of $\mathrm{DR}(A)$ whose source is $s(f) \bar{\otimes} s(g)$ and whose target is $b(f) \bar{\otimes} b(g)$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha, \gamma \in W_X^H$, $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(V, V')$, $u \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(V \bar{\otimes} \{\gamma\}, \{\alpha\} \bar{\otimes} V)$, and $u' \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(V' \bar{\otimes} \{\gamma\}, \{\alpha\} \bar{\otimes} V')$.

Lemma 9.4. $\Gamma^{V'}_{\alpha,\gamma}(u')\circ m_f = m_f\circ\Gamma^{V}_{\alpha,\gamma}(u)$, *if and only if* $u'\circ(f\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\{\gamma\}}) = (\mathrm{id}_{\{\alpha\}}\bar{\otimes}f)\circ u$.

Let $\beta\in W^H_X$, $g\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(W,W')$, $w\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(W\bar{\otimes}\{\beta\},\{\gamma\}\bar{\otimes}W)$, and $w'\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(W'\bar{\otimes}\{\beta\},\{\gamma\}\bar{\otimes}W')$. The above lemma and Proposition 9.1 imply that

Lemma 9.5. *If* $\Gamma^{V'}_{\alpha,\gamma}(u')\circ m_f = m_f\circ\Gamma^{V}_{\alpha,\gamma}(u)$ *and* $\Gamma^{W'}_{\gamma,\beta}(w')\circ m_g = m_g\circ\Gamma^{W}_{\gamma,\beta}(w)$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_2(V',W')(\Gamma^{V'}_{\alpha,\gamma}(u')\otimes\Gamma^{W'}_{\gamma,\beta}(w')+I_2)\circ m_{f\bar{\otimes}g}\\ =\quad & m_{f\bar{\otimes}g}\circ\varphi_2(V,W)(\Gamma^{V}_{\alpha,\gamma}(u)\otimes\Gamma^{W}_{\gamma,\beta}(w)+I_2). \end{aligned}$$

This lemma immediately induces Proposition 9.3. □

For abbreviation, we continue to write $f\bar{\otimes}g$ for the tensor product of the morphisms f and g of $\mathrm{DR}(A)$.

Proposition 9.6. $\bar{\otimes}:\mathrm{DR}(A)\times\mathrm{DR}(A)\to\mathrm{DR}(A)$ *is a functor.*

Let $I_{\mathrm{DR}(A)}$ denote the object $(I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H},\pi_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}})\in\mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{DR}(A))$ (See Proposition 8.1). The final task of this section is to explain the associativity constraint $a:\bar{\otimes}(\bar{\otimes}\times\mathrm{id})\to\bar{\otimes}(\mathrm{id}\times\bar{\otimes})$, the left unit constraint $l:\bar{\otimes}(I_{\mathrm{DR}(A)}\times\mathrm{id})\to\mathrm{id}$, and the right unit constraint $r:\bar{\otimes}(\mathrm{id}\times I_{\mathrm{DR}(A)})\to\mathrm{id}$. Let $\pi_i=(V_i,\pi_i)$ $(i=1,2,3)$ be objects of $\mathrm{DR}(A)$. We denote by a_{π_1,π_2,π_3}, l_{π_1}, and r_{π_1} the following morphisms of Vect_H:

$$\begin{aligned} &a_{\pi_1,\pi_2,\pi_3}=a_{V_1,V_2,V_3}:(V_1\bar{\otimes}V_2)\bar{\otimes}V_3\to V_1\bar{\otimes}(V_2\bar{\otimes}V_3);\\ &l_{\pi_1}=l_{V_1}:I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}\bar{\otimes}V_1\to V_1;\ r_{\pi_1}=r_{V_1}:V_1\bar{\otimes}I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}\to V_1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 9.7. *If A is an (H,X)-bialgebroid (Definition 6.8), then* $\mathrm{DR}(A)$*, together with* $\bar{\otimes}$, $I_{\mathrm{DR}(A)}$, a, l, *and* r, *is a tensor category.*

Because of Proposition 7.7 and Corollary 7.8,

Corollary 9.8. $\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)$ *is a tensor category, and so is* $DR(A_R)$.

We will prove Theorem 9.7 in the next section.

10 Proof of Theorem 9.7

Before starting the proof of Theorem 9.7, let us introduce the category C_{is} called the groupoid of isomorphisms of the category C [14, XI.1.1 Example 2]. The notation C_{is} means the (broad) subcategory of C whose objects are those of C and whose morphisms are isomorphisms of C. If C is a tensor category, then C_{is} is a tensor subcategory of C; in other words, C_{is} is a tensor category with respect to $\otimes$, I, a, l, and r of the tensor category C.

We will first explain a functor $D_{H,X}:\mathrm{Vect}_{H\,is}\to\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$, which plays an essential role in the proof of Theorem 9.7 (For Vect_H and $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$, see Sections 2 and 4).

For an object V of the category $\mathrm{Vect}_{H\,is}$, we have already defined the object $D_{H,X}(V)$ of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ in Proposition 4.7. Let $f:V\to W$ be a morphism of the category $\mathrm{Vect}_{H\,is}$. Define a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $D_{H,X}(f)$ from $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Map}(H,\mathbb{C}V))$ to $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Map}(H,\mathbb{C}W))$ by

$$D_{H,X}(f)(U)=m_f\circ U\circ(m_f)^{-1}\quad(U\in\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{Map}(H,\mathbb{C}V))).$$

For m_f, see (8.2). We note that $(m_f)^{-1} = m_{f^{-1}}$. Because $D_{H,X}(f)$ satisfies that $D_{H,X}(f)(D_{H,X}(V)_{\alpha,\beta}) \subset D_{H,X}(W)_{\alpha,\beta}$ $(\forall \alpha, \beta \in W_X^H)$, we use the same symbol $D_{H,X}(f)$ for the restriction $D_{H,X}(f)|_{D_{H,X}(V)}$. By Definition 4.3,

Proposition 10.1. *$D_{H,X}(f) : D_{H,X}(V) \to D_{H,X}(W) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)})$. Furthermore, $D_{H,X} : \mathrm{Vect}_{H is} \to \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$ is a functor.*

The morphisms $\varphi_2(V, W)$ in Proposition 9.2 $(V, W \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Vect}_H))$ are relevant to this functor $D_{H,X}$. To be more precise,

Proposition 10.2. *$\varphi_2 : \widetilde{\otimes}(D_{H,X} \times D_{H,X}) \to D_{H,X}\bar{\otimes}$ is a natural transformation.*

Let V_1, V_2, and V_3 be objects of the category $\mathrm{Vect}_{H is}$. The next task is to construct two families of morphisms of the category $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_3^l(V_1, V_2, V_3) : D_{H,X}(V_1)\widetilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(V_2)\widetilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(V_3) \to D_{H,X}((V_1\bar{\otimes} V_2)\bar{\otimes} V_3);\\
&\varphi_3^r(V_1, V_2, V_3) : D_{H,X}(V_1)\widetilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(V_2)\widetilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(V_3) \to D_{H,X}(V_1\bar{\otimes}(V_2\bar{\otimes} V_3)).
\end{aligned}$$

We define the map

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_3^l : (D_{H,X}(V_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D_{H,X}(V_2)) \times D_{H,X}(V_3) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}(V_1\bar{\otimes} V_2)\bar{\otimes} V_3))$$

as follows (For $\bar{\varphi}_2(V_1, V_2)$, see (9.1)).

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_3^l(w, x) = \bar{\varphi}_2(V_1, V_2)(w)^{(1)} \circ x^{(2)} \quad (w \in D_{H,X}(V_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D_{H,X}(V_2), x \in D_{H,X}(V_3)).$$

Since this map is $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear, there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear map

$$\bar{\varphi}_3^l : (D_{H,X}(V_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D_{H,X}(V_2)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D_{H,X}(V_3) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}(V_1\bar{\otimes} V_2)\bar{\otimes} V_3))$$

such that $\bar{\varphi}_3^l(w \otimes x) = \widetilde{\varphi}_3^l(w, x)$.

We set $I_3^l = I_3^l(D_{H,X}(V_1), D_{H,X}(V_2), D_{H,X}(V_3))$ (See (5.3)). The map $\bar{\varphi}_3^l$ satisfies $\bar{\varphi}_3^l(I_3^l) = \{0\}$, and it hence induces the following $\mathbb{C}$-linear map φ_3^l.

$$\varphi_3^l : (D_{H,X}(V_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D_{H,X}(V_2)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D_{H,X}(V_3)/I_3^l \to \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{Map}(H, \mathbb{C}(V_1\bar{\otimes} V_2)\bar{\otimes} V_3)).$$

For any $\alpha, \beta \in W_X^H$,

$$\varphi_3^l((D_{H,X}(V_1)\widetilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(V_2)\widetilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(V_3))_{\alpha,\beta}) \subset D_{H,X}((V_1\bar{\otimes} V_2)\bar{\otimes} V_3)_{\alpha,\beta};$$

and we will use the symbol $\varphi_3^l(V_1, V_2, V_3)$ for the restriction of the map φ_3^l.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_3^l(V_1, V_2, V_3) = \varphi_3^l|_{D_{H,X}(V_1)\widetilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(V_2)\widetilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(V_3)} :\\
&D_{H,X}(V_1)\widetilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(V_2)\widetilde{\otimes} D_{H,X}(V_3) \to D_{H,X}((V_1\bar{\otimes} V_2)\bar{\otimes} V_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 10.3. *The map $\varphi_3^l(V_1, V_2, V_3)$ is a morphism of* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

We apply this argument again to obtain the morphism $\varphi_3^r(V_1, V_2, V_3)$ of the category $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

The definition of pre-tensor functors is similar to that of the tensor functors [14, Definition XI.4.1].

Definition 10.4. Let $C = (C, \bar{\otimes}, I_C, a, l^C, r^C)$ be a tensor category, and let $D = (D, \widetilde{\otimes}, -\widetilde{\otimes}-\widetilde{\otimes}-, I_D, a^l, a^r, l^D, r^D)$ be a pre-tensor category (Definition 6.1). A pre-tensor functor from C to D is a quintet $(F, \varphi_0, \varphi_2, \varphi_3^l, \varphi_3^r)$ where $F : C \to D$ is a functor, φ_0 is a morphism from I_D to $F(I_C)$, $\varphi_2 : \widetilde{\otimes}(F \times F) \to F\bar{\otimes}$ is a natural transformation, and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_3^l(U,V,W) &: F(U)\widetilde{\otimes}F(V)\widetilde{\otimes}F(W) \to F((U\bar{\otimes}V)\bar{\otimes}W),\\ \varphi_3^r(U,V,W) &: F(U)\widetilde{\otimes}F(V)\widetilde{\otimes}F(W) \to F(U\bar{\otimes}(V\bar{\otimes}W))\end{aligned}$$

are families of morphisms $(U, V, W \in \mathrm{Ob}(C))$ such that

$$F(a_{U,V,W}) \circ \varphi_3^l(U,V,W) = \varphi_3^r(U,V,W), \tag{10.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi_3^l(U,V,W) \circ a^l_{F(U),F(V),F(W)}\\ &\qquad = \varphi_2(U\bar{\otimes}V, W) \circ \varphi_2(U,V)\widetilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{F(W)},\end{aligned} \tag{10.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi_3^r(U,V,W) \circ a^r_{F(U),F(V),F(W)}\\ &\qquad = \varphi_2(U, V\bar{\otimes}W) \circ \mathrm{id}_{F(U)}\widetilde{\otimes}\varphi_2(V,W),\end{aligned} \tag{10.3}$$

$$l^D_{F(U)} = F(l^C_U) \circ \varphi_2(I_C, U) \circ \varphi_0\widetilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{F(U)}, \tag{10.4}$$

$$r^D_{F(U)} = F(r^C_U) \circ \varphi_2(U, I_C) \circ \mathrm{id}_{F(U)}\widetilde{\otimes}\varphi_0 \tag{10.5}$$

for all objects U, V, W of C.

Proposition 10.5. *$D_{H,X} = (D_{H,X}, \varphi_0, \varphi_2, \varphi_3^l, \varphi_3^r)$ is a pre-tensor functor from* $\mathrm{Vect}_{H\,is}$ *to* $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

Proof. On account of Propositions 5.2 and 10.1–10.3 with the family of the morphisms $\varphi_3^r(V_1, V_2, V_3)$ of $\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$, it is sufficient to show (10.1)–(10.5). The detailed verification is left to the reader. □

We now prove Theorem 9.7. The proof is based on the concepts in category theory: the pre-tensor category, the categorical bialgebroid, and the pre-tensor functor. Let C be a tensor category, F a pre-tensor functor from C_{is} to a pre-tensor category D, and A a categorical bialgebroid of the category D. We follow the notations of Definitions 6.7 and 10.4.

For the proof, we first introduce a tensor category $\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$, of which $\mathrm{DR}(A)$ will be a tensor subcategory, if $F = D_{H,X} : \mathrm{Vect}_{H\,is} \to \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$.

An object of $\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$ is, by definition, a pair (V, π_V) of $V \in \mathrm{Ob}(C)(= \mathrm{Ob}(C_{is}))$ and $\pi_V : A \to F(V) \in \mathrm{Hom}(D)$.

Let $\pi_V = (V, \pi_V)$ and $\pi_W = (W, \pi_W)$ be objects of $\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$. A morphism $f : \pi_V \to \pi_W$ of $\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$ is a morphism $f : V \to W$ of the category C. The objects π_V and π_W are called the source $s(f)$ and the target $b(f)$ of the morphism f, respectively.

Let $\mathrm{id}_{\pi_V} : \pi_V \to \pi_V$ denote the morphism $\mathrm{id}_V : V \to V \in \mathrm{Hom}(C)$.

We define the composition $\circ$ of $\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$ by that of C.

Proposition 10.6. $\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$ *is a category.*

Note that we have actually proved that $\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$ is a category, if A is an object of D.

The next task is to define a tensor product $\bar{\otimes} : \mathrm{PDR}_F(A) \times \mathrm{PDR}_F(A) \to \mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$. Let (V, π_V) and (W, π_W) be objects of $\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$. Set

$$(V, \pi_V)\bar{\otimes}(W, \pi_W) = (V\bar{\otimes}W, \varphi_2(V, W) \circ \pi_V \widetilde{\otimes} \pi_W \circ \Delta).$$

For $f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{PDR}_F(A))$, we define $f\bar{\otimes}g : s(f)\bar{\otimes}s(g) \to b(f)\bar{\otimes}b(g)$ by $f\bar{\otimes}g \in \mathrm{Hom}(C)$.

Proposition 10.7. $\bar{\otimes} : \mathrm{PDR}_F(A) \times \mathrm{PDR}_F(A) \to \mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$ *is a functor.*

We will explain the unit $I_{\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)}$, the associativity constraint $a : \bar{\otimes}(\bar{\otimes} \times \mathrm{id}) \to \bar{\otimes}(\mathrm{id} \times \bar{\otimes})$, the left unit constraint $l : \bar{\otimes}(I_{\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)} \times \mathrm{id}) \to \mathrm{id}$, and the right unit constraint $r : \bar{\otimes}(\mathrm{id} \times I_{\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)}) \to \mathrm{id}$. Let $I_{\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)}$ denote the object $(I_C, \varphi_0 \circ \varepsilon) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{PDR}_F(A))$. Let $\pi_i = (V_i, \pi_i)$, $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ be objects of $\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$. We denote by a_{π_1,π_2,π_3}, l_{π_1}, and r_{π_1} the following morphisms of C:

$$a_{\pi_1,\pi_2,\pi_3} = a_{V_1,V_2,V_3} : (V_1\bar{\otimes}V_2)\bar{\otimes}V_3 \to V_1\bar{\otimes}(V_2\bar{\otimes}V_3);$$
$$l_{\pi_1} = l^C_{V_1} : I_C\bar{\otimes}V_1 \to V_1;\ r_{\pi_1} = r^C_{V_1} : V_1\bar{\otimes}I_C \to V_1.$$

Proposition 10.8. $\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$*, together with* $\bar{\otimes}$*,* $I_{\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)}$*,* a*,* l*, and* r*, is a tensor category.*

Let $\mathrm{DR}_F(A)$ be a subcategory of $\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$ such that:

(1) if $\pi_V, \pi_W \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{DR}_F(A))$, then $\pi_V\bar{\otimes}\pi_W \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{DR}_F(A))$;

(2) if $f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{DR}_F(A))$, then $f\bar{\otimes}g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DR}_F(A)}(s(f)\bar{\otimes}s(g), b(f)\bar{\otimes}b(g))$;

(3) $I_{\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{DR}_F(A))$;

(4) if $f : V \to W \in \mathrm{Hom}(C_{is})$ satisfies $F(f) \circ \pi_V = \pi_W$, then f is a morphism of $\mathrm{DR}_F(A)$ whose source is π_V and whose target is π_W.

This $\mathrm{DR}_F(A)$ is a generalization of the category $\mathrm{DR}(A)$.

Proposition 10.9. $\mathrm{DR}_F(A)$ *is a tensor subcategory of* $\mathrm{PDR}_F(A)$*.*

Proof. Let $\pi_i = (V_i, \pi_i)$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ be objects of $\mathrm{DR}_F(A)$. We will only show that $a_{\pi_1,\pi_2,\pi_3}, l_{\pi_1} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{DR}_F(A))$.

We note that $a_{\pi_1,\pi_2,\pi_3}(= a_{V_1,V_2,V_3})$ and $l_{\pi_1}(= l^C_{V_1})$ are morphisms of C_{is}. On account of (4) that the subcategory $\mathrm{DR}_F(A)$ satisfies, it suffices to prove that:

$$F(a_{V_1,V_2,V_3}) \circ (\pi_1\bar{\otimes}\pi_2)\bar{\otimes}\pi_3 = \pi_1\bar{\otimes}(\pi_2\bar{\otimes}\pi_3); \tag{10.6}$$
$$F(l^C_{V_1}) \circ \pi_{I_{\mathrm{DR}_F(A)}}\bar{\otimes}\pi_1 = \pi_1.$$

Figures 1 and 2 yield these formulas. For example, let us consider Figure 1. By Definitions 6.1, 6.7, and 10.4, every small diagram commutes; so does the outside one. This is equivalent to (10.6). □

The proof of the above proposition strongly depends on the assumption (4) of the subcategory $\mathrm{DR}_F(A)$.

Let A be an (H, X)-bialgebroid (Definition 6.8). We set $F = D_{H,X} : \mathrm{Vect}_{H\,is} \to \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$; indeed, $C = \mathrm{Vect}_H$ and $D = \mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)}$. From Propositions 8.1, 8.3, 9.6, and (8.1), $\mathrm{DR}(A)$ is a subcategory of $\mathrm{PDR}_{D_{H,X}}(A)$ satisfying (1)–(4). By virtue of Proposition 10.9, $\mathrm{DR}(A)$ is a tensor subcategory of $\mathrm{PDR}_{D_{H,X}}(A)$. We have thus proved Theorem 9.7.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A\widetilde{\otimes}A \arrow[d, "\Delta\widetilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_A"] & A \arrow[l, "\Delta"'] \arrow[r, "\Delta"] & A\widetilde{\otimes}A \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_A\widetilde{\otimes}\Delta"'] \\
(A\widetilde{\otimes}A)\widetilde{\otimes}A \arrow[r, "a^l_{A,A,A}"] \arrow[d, "(\pi_1\widetilde{\otimes}\pi_2)\widetilde{\otimes}\pi_3"] & A\widetilde{\otimes}A\widetilde{\otimes}A \arrow[dd, "\pi_1\widetilde{\otimes}\pi_2\widetilde{\otimes}\pi_3"] & A\widetilde{\otimes}(A\widetilde{\otimes}A) \arrow[l, "a^r_{A,A,A}"'] \arrow[d, "\pi_1\widetilde{\otimes}(\pi_2\widetilde{\otimes}\pi_3)"'] \\
(F(V_1)\widetilde{\otimes}F(V_2))\widetilde{\otimes}F(V_3) \arrow[dr, "a^l_{V_1,V_2,V_3}"] \arrow[dd, "\begin{array}{l}\varphi_2(V_1\bar{\otimes}V_2,V_3)\circ\\ \circ\varphi_2(V_1,V_2)\widetilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_3\end{array}"] & & F(V_1)\widetilde{\otimes}(F(V_2)\widetilde{\otimes}F(V_3)) \arrow[dl, "a^r_{V_1,V_2,V_3}"'] \arrow[dd, "\begin{array}{r}\varphi_2(V_1,V_2\bar{\otimes}V_3)\circ\\ \circ\mathrm{id}_1\widetilde{\otimes}\varphi_2(V_2,V_3)\end{array}"'] \\
 & F(V_1)\widetilde{\otimes}F(V_2)\widetilde{\otimes}F(V_3) \arrow[dl, "\varphi^l_3(V_1,V_2,V_3)"] \arrow[dr, "\varphi^r_3(V_1,V_2,V_3)"'] & \\
F((V_1\bar{\otimes}V_2)\bar{\otimes}V_3) \arrow[rr, "F(a_{V_1,V_2,V_3})"'] & & F(V_1\bar{\otimes}(V_2\bar{\otimes}V_3))
\end{tikzcd}$$

$a^l_{V_1,V_2,V_3}=a^l_{F(V_1),F(V_2),F(V_3)}$, $\mathrm{id}_1=\mathrm{id}_{F(V_1)}$,

$a^r_{V_1,V_2,V_3}=a^r_{F(V_1),F(V_2),F(V_3)}$, $\mathrm{id}_3=\mathrm{id}_{F(V_3)}$.

Figure 1: $F(a_{V_1,V_2,V_3})\circ(\pi_1\bar{\otimes}\pi_2)\bar{\otimes}\pi_3=\pi_1\bar{\otimes}(\pi_2\bar{\otimes}\pi_3)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & A\widetilde{\otimes}A \arrow[dl, "\pi_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}}\widetilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_A"'] \arrow[d, "\varepsilon\widetilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_A"] & A \arrow[l, "\Delta"'] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_A"] \\
F(I_C)\widetilde{\otimes}A \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{F(I_C)}\widetilde{\otimes}\pi_1"] & I_D\widetilde{\otimes}A \arrow[l, "\varphi_0\widetilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_A"'] \arrow[r, "l^D_A"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_{I_D}\widetilde{\otimes}\pi_1"] & A \arrow[dd, "\pi_1"] \\
F(I_C)\widetilde{\otimes}F(V_1) \arrow[d, "\varphi_2(I_C,V_1)"] & I_D\widetilde{\otimes}F(V_1) \arrow[l, "\varphi_0\widetilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{F(V_1)}"] \arrow[dr, "l^D_{F(V_1)}"] & \\
F(I_C\bar{\otimes}V_1) \arrow[rr, "F(l^C_{V_1})"] & & F(V_1)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 2: $F(l^C_{V_1})\circ\pi_{I_{DR_F(A)}}\bar{\otimes}\pi_1=\pi_1$

11 Tensor categories $\mathrm{Rep}\,R$ and $\mathrm{DR}(A_R)$

Let $\sigma : X\bar{\otimes}X \to X\bar{\otimes}X$ be a morphism of Vect_H. In this section, we show that the tensor categories $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$ in Proposition 3.2 and $\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)$ in Corollary 9.8 are isomorphic; in fact, we will construct tensor functors $F : \mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)\to\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$ and $G : \mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma\to\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)$ such that $FG=\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma}$ and $GF=\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)}$ as tensor functors. In particular, $\mathrm{Rep}\,R$ is isomorphic to $DR(A_R)$ (See Proposition 3.8 and Corollary 9.8). As was mentioned in Section 7, the set X is required to be finite in this section.

The first task is to introduce a (strict) tensor functor F [14, Definition XI.4.1].

Let $\pi=(V,\pi)$ be an object of $\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)$. For $a,b\in X$, we define the morphism $\pi(L_{ab}+I_\sigma)_{[a],[b]} : V\bar{\otimes}\{[b]\}\to\{[a]\}\bar{\otimes}V$ of Vect_H by $\pi(L_{ab}+I_\sigma)=\Gamma^V_{[a],[b]}(\pi(L_{ab}+I_\sigma)_{[a],[b]})$. Because of Proposition 4.5 and the fact that $\pi(L_{ab}+I_\sigma)\in D_{H,X}(V)_{[a],[b]}$, this definition makes sense.

Let $L_{F(\pi)} : V\bar{\otimes}X\to X\bar{\otimes}V$ denote the following morphism of Vect_H (See Proposition 2.4 and (2.3)).

$$L_{F(\pi)}=\sum_{a,b\in X}(i^{\{a\}}_X\circ\iota^{\{[a]\}}_{\{a\}})\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V\circ\pi(L_{ab}+I_\sigma)_{[a],[b]}\circ\mathrm{id}_V\bar{\otimes}(\iota^{\{b\}}_{\{[b]\}}\circ p^X_{\{b\}}). \tag{11.1}$$

For the isomorphism $\iota^{\{[a]\}}_{\{a\}} : \{[a]\}\to\{a\}$ of Vect_H, see Propositions 2.5, 3.5, 4.1, and (2.7).

Proposition 11.1. *$L_{F(\pi)}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $u\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\},\{\beta\}\bar{\otimes}V)$ $(\alpha,\beta\in W^H_X)$. We will denote by $u^\vee$:

$\{\beta^{-1}\}\bar{\otimes}V \to V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}$ the following morphism of Vect_H.

$$\begin{aligned} u^{\vee} \quad = \quad & l_{V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ \iota^{\{\beta^{-1}\}\bar{\otimes}\{\beta\}}_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}} \bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ a^{-1}_{\{\beta^{-1}\}\{\beta\}V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ \\ & \circ \mathrm{id}_{\{\beta^{-1}\}}\bar{\otimes}(a_{\{\beta\}V\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ u\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ a^{-1}_{V\{\alpha\}\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ \mathrm{id}_V\bar{\otimes}\iota^{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}}_{\{\alpha\}\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}}) \circ \\ & \circ a_{\{\beta^{-1}\}VI_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}} \circ r^{-1}_{\{\beta^{-1}\}\bar{\otimes}V}. \end{aligned} \tag{11.2}$$

We set $L^{-1}_{F(\pi)} : X\bar{\otimes}V \to V\bar{\otimes}X$ for

$$\begin{aligned} L^{-1}_{F(\pi)} \quad = \quad & \sum_{a,b\in X} \mathrm{id}_V\bar{\otimes}(i^{\{a\}}_X \circ \iota^{\{[a]\}}_{\{a\}}) \circ (\pi((L^{-1})_{ab}+I_\sigma)_{[b^{-1}],[a^{-1}]})^{\vee} \circ \\ & \qquad \circ(\iota^{\{b\}}_{\{[b]\}} \circ p^X_{\{b\}})\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V. \end{aligned} \tag{11.3}$$

Here, $\pi((L^{-1})_{ab}+I_\sigma)_{[b^{-1}],[a^{-1}]} : V\bar{\otimes}\{[a^{-1}]\} \to \{[b^{-1}]\}\bar{\otimes}V$ is the morphism of Vect_H defined by $\pi((L^{-1})_{ab}+I_\sigma) = \Gamma^V_{[b^{-1}],[a^{-1}]}(\pi((L^{-1})_{ab}+I_\sigma)_{[b^{-1}],[a^{-1}]})$ (See Proposition 4.5).

Lemma 11.2. *For morphisms* $u : V\bar{\otimes}\{[c]\} \to \{[a]\}\bar{\otimes}V$ *and* $v : V\bar{\otimes}\{[c^{-1}]\} \to \{[b^{-1}]\}\bar{\otimes}V$ *of* Vect_H $(a,b,c \in X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} u\circ v^{\vee} \quad = \quad & l_{\{[a]\}\bar{\otimes}V} \circ \iota^{\{[b]\}\bar{\otimes}\{[b^{-1}]\}}_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}} \bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\{[a]\}\bar{\otimes}V} \circ a^{-1}_{\{[b]\}\{[b^{-1}]\}\{[a]\}\bar{\otimes}V} \circ \\ & \circ \mathrm{id}_{\{[b]\}}\bar{\otimes}\Big(a_{\{[b^{-1}]\}\{[a]\}V} \circ \iota^{\{[ab^{-1}]\}}_{\{[b^{-1}]\}\bar{\otimes}\{[a]\}}\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V \circ (u *_V v)\Big) \circ \\ & \circ a_{\{[b]\}V\{1\}} \circ \mathrm{id}_{\{[b]\}\bar{\otimes}V}\bar{\otimes}\iota^{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}}_{\{1\}} \circ r^{-1}_{\{[b]\}\bar{\otimes}V}. \end{aligned}$$

For $u *_V v$, *see (4.7).*

By taking account of Proposition 2.4, (2.4), (2.5), and the above lemma, the first generator in Definition 7.1 (2) induces that $L_{F(\pi)} \circ L^{-1}_{F(\pi)} = \mathrm{id}_{X\bar{\otimes}V}$.

Similarly, we obtain $L^{-1}_{F(\pi)} \circ L_{F(\pi)} = \mathrm{id}_{V\bar{\otimes}X}$; therefore, $L_{F(\pi)}$ is an isomorphism. ☐

Proposition 11.3. $F(\pi) = (V, L_{F(\pi)}) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma)$.

Proof. We will show (3.1). From Propositions 4.5, 4.6, 4.8, and (7.2), the generators (4) in Definition 7.1 induce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x,y\in X} (\sigma^{xy}_{ac})_{[ca],[yx]}\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V \circ (\pi(L_{yd}+I_\sigma)_{[y],[d]} *_V \pi(L_{xb}+I_\sigma)_{[x],[b]}) \\ = \quad & \sum_{x,y\in X} (\pi(L_{cy}+I_\sigma)_{[c],[y]} *_V \pi(L_{ax}+I_\sigma)_{[a],[x]}) \circ \mathrm{id}_V\bar{\otimes}(\sigma^{bd}_{xy})_{[yx],[db]} \\ & \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (\forall a,b,c,d \in X). \end{aligned} \tag{11.4}$$

With the aid of Proposition 2.4, (4.7), and (11.1), each summand of the left hand side of (11.4) is

$$(\iota^{\{a\}\bar{\otimes}\{c\}}_{\{[ca]\}} \circ (p^X_{\{a\}}\bar{\otimes}p^X_{\{c\}}))\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V \circ \sigma\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V \circ$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\circ((i_X^{\{x\}} \circ p^X_{\{x\}})\bar{\otimes}(i_X^{\{y\}} \circ p^X_{\{y\}}))\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V \circ a^{-1}_{X,X,V} \circ \\
&\circ(i_X^{\{x\}} \circ p^X_{\{x\}})\bar{\otimes}((i_X^{\{y\}} \circ p^X_{\{y\}})\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V) \circ \mathrm{id}_X\bar{\otimes}L_{F(\pi)} \circ \\
&\circ(i_X^{\{x\}} \circ p^X_{\{x\}})\bar{\otimes}(\mathrm{id}_V\bar{\otimes}(i_X^{\{d\}} \circ p^X_{\{d\}})) \circ a_{X,V,X} \circ \\
&\circ((i_X^{\{x\}} \circ p^X_{\{x\}})\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V)\bar{\otimes}(i_X^{\{d\}} \circ p^X_{\{d\}}) \circ L_{F(\pi)}\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_X \circ \\
&\circ(\mathrm{id}_V\bar{\otimes}(i_X^{\{b\}} \circ p^X_{\{b\}}))\bar{\otimes}(i_X^{\{d\}} \circ p^X_{\{d\}}) \circ a^{-1}_{V,X,X} \circ \mathrm{id}_V\bar{\otimes}((i_X^{\{b\}}\bar{\otimes}i_X^{\{d\}}) \circ \iota^{\{[db]\}}_{\{b\}\bar{\otimes}\{d\}}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the set X is finite, (2.4), (2.5), and (11.4) imply (3.1). $\square$

Let $f : \pi_1 \to \pi_2$ be a morphism of $\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)$. Because of (8.1),

$$\pi_2(L_{ab} + I_\sigma) \circ m_f = m_f \circ \pi_1(L_{ab} + I_\sigma) \quad (\forall a, b \in X).$$

From Lemma 9.4, this is equivalent to that

$$\pi_2(L_{ab} + I_\sigma)_{[a],[b]} \circ (f\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\{[b]\}}) = (\mathrm{id}_{\{[a]\}}\bar{\otimes}f) \circ \pi_1(L_{ab} + I_\sigma)_{[a],[b]} \quad (\forall a, b \in X),$$

which immediately induces (3.2); hence,

Proposition 11.4. *If $f : \pi_1 \to \pi_2$ is a morphism of $\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)$, then $f : F(\pi_1) \to F(\pi_2)$ is a morphism of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$.*

For $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)}(\pi_1, \pi_2)$, we define $F(f) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma}(F(\pi_1), F(\pi_2))$ by $F(f) = f$. A direct computation shows

Proposition 11.5. *$F : \mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma) \to \mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$ is a strict tensor functor.*

The next task is to introduce a tensor functor $G : \mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma \to \mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)$.

Let $V \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Vect}_H)$ and $u : \{\beta^{-1}\}\bar{\otimes}V \to V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Vect}_H)$ $(\alpha, \beta \in W_X^H)$. We denote by $u^\wedge : V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\} \to \{\beta\}\bar{\otimes}V$ the following morphism of Vect_H.

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^\wedge \quad = \quad & r_{\{\beta\}\bar{\otimes}V} \circ \mathrm{id}_{\{\beta\}\bar{\otimes}V}\bar{\otimes}\iota^{\{\alpha^{-1}\}\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\}}_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}} \circ a_{\{\beta\}\bar{\otimes}V\{\alpha^{-1}\}\{\alpha\}} \circ \\
&\circ\Big[l_{(\{\beta\}\bar{\otimes}V)\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ \iota^{\{\beta\}\bar{\otimes}\{\beta^{-1}\}}_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}}\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{(\{\beta\}\bar{\otimes}V)\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ \\
&\circ a^{-1}_{\{\beta\}\{\beta^{-1}\}(\{\beta\}\bar{\otimes}V)\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ \\
&\circ \mathrm{id}_{\{\beta\}}\bar{\otimes}\Big\{\mathrm{id}_{\{\beta^{-1}\}}\bar{\otimes}a^{-1}_{\{\beta\}V\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ a_{\{\beta^{-1}\}\{\beta\}V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ \\
&\circ \iota^{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}}_{\{\beta^{-1}\}\bar{\otimes}\{\beta\}}\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ l^{-1}_{V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ u \circ r_{\{\beta^{-1}\}\bar{\otimes}V} \circ \\
&\circ a^{-1}_{\{\beta^{-1}\}VI_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}} \circ \mathrm{id}_{\{\beta^{-1}\}}\bar{\otimes}(\mathrm{id}_V\bar{\otimes}\iota^{\{\alpha\}\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}}_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}} \circ a_{V\{\alpha\}\{\alpha^{-1}\}})\Big\} \circ \\
&\circ a_{\{\beta\}\{\beta^{-1}\}(V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\})\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ \iota^{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}}_{\{\beta\}\bar{\otimes}\{\beta^{-1}\}}\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{(V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\})\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}} \circ \\
&\circ l^{-1}_{(V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\})\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}}\Big]\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\{\alpha\}} \circ \\
&\circ a^{-1}_{V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\}\{\alpha^{-1}\}\{\alpha\}} \circ \mathrm{id}_{V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\}}\bar{\otimes}\iota^{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}}_{\{\alpha^{-1}\}\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\}} \circ r^{-1}_{V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{11.5}
$$

A simple verification shows that $(u^\vee)^\wedge = u$ (For $u^\vee$, see (11.2)). Moreover, the map $\wedge : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(\{\beta^{-1}\}\bar{\otimes}V, V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha^{-1}\}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}(V\bar{\otimes}\{\alpha\}, \{\beta\}\bar{\otimes}V)$ is an injective $\mathbb{C}$-linear map because of Proposition 2.4 and (11.5). Thus,

Proposition 11.6. *The map* $\wedge$ *is the inverse of the map* $\vee$.

Let $L_V = (V, L_V)$ be an object of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$. For $f, g \in M_H$, define $\check{\pi}(f,g) \in D_{H,X}(V)_{1,1}$ by

$$\check{\pi}(f,g) = \mu_l^{D_{H,X}(V)}(f)\mu_r^{D_{H,X}(V)}(g).$$

Since $\check{\pi}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear, there uniquely exists a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\bar{\pi} : M_H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_H \to D_{H,X}(V)$ such that $\bar{\pi}(f \otimes g) = \check{\pi}(f,g)$ $(f, g \in M_H)$; in addition, $\bar{\pi}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism. We denote by $\bar{\pi}(L_{ab})_{[a][b]} : V\bar{\otimes}\{[b]\} \to \{[a]\}\bar{\otimes}V$ and $\bar{\pi}((L^{-1})_{ab})_{[b^{-1}][a^{-1}]} : V\bar{\otimes}\{[a^{-1}]\} \to \{[b^{-1}]\}\bar{\otimes}V$ $(a, b \in X)$ the following morphisms of Vect_H (cf. (11.1) and (11.3)).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\pi}(L_{ab})_{[a][b]} = (\iota^{\{a\}}_{\{[a]\}} \circ p^X_{\{a\}})\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V \circ L_V \circ \mathrm{id}_V\bar{\otimes}(i^{\{b\}}_X \circ \iota^{\{[b]\}}_{\{b\}});\\
&\bar{\pi}((L^{-1})_{ab})_{[b^{-1}][a^{-1}]} = (\mathrm{id}_V\bar{\otimes}(\iota^{\{a\}}_{\{[a]\}} \circ p^X_{\{a\}}) \circ L_V^{-1} \circ (i^X_{\{b\}} \circ \iota^{\{[b]\}}_{\{b\}})\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V)^{\wedge}.
\end{aligned}$$

We define the map $\bar{\pi}$ from HX (7.1) to $D_{H,X}(V)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\pi}(\xi) = \bar{\pi}(\xi) \quad (\xi \in M_H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_H);\ \bar{\pi}(L_{ab}) = \Gamma^V_{[a],[b]}(\bar{\pi}(L_{ab})_{[a][b]});\\
&\bar{\pi}((L^{-1})_{ab}) = \Gamma^V_{[b^{-1}],[a^{-1}]}(\bar{\pi}((L^{-1})_{ab})_{[b^{-1}],[a^{-1}]}).
\end{aligned}$$

There consequently exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $\widetilde{\pi} : \mathbb{C}\{HX\} \to D_{H,X}(V)$ such that $\widetilde{\pi}(x) = \bar{\pi}(x)$ $(x \in HX)$. Here, $\mathbb{C}\{HX\}$ is the free $\mathbb{C}$-algebra on the set HX.

Proposition 11.7. $\widetilde{\pi}(I_\sigma) = \{0\}$.

Proof. From Lemma 11.2,

Lemma 11.8. *For morphisms* $u : V\bar{\otimes}\{[c]\} \to \{[a]\}\bar{\otimes}V$ *and* $v : V\bar{\otimes}\{[c^{-1}]\} \to \{[b^{-1}]\}\bar{\otimes}V$ *of* Vect_H $(a, b, c \in X)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
u *_V v \quad = \quad & l_{\{[ab^{-1}]\}\bar{\otimes}V} \circ \iota^{\{[b^{-1}]\}\bar{\otimes}\{[b]\}}_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}}\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\{[ab^{-1}]\}\bar{\otimes}V} \circ a^{-1}_{\{[b^{-1}]\}\{[b]\}\{[ab^{-1}]\}\bar{\otimes}V} \circ\\
&\circ \mathrm{id}_{\{[b^{-1}]\}}\bar{\otimes}\Big(\mathrm{id}_{\{[b]\}}\bar{\otimes}(\iota^{\{[b^{-1}]\}\bar{\otimes}\{[a]\}}_{\{[ab^{-1}]\}}\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_V \circ a^{-1}_{\{[b^{-1}]\}\{[a]\}V}) \circ\\
&\circ a_{\{[b]\}\{[b^{-1}]\}\{[a]\}\bar{\otimes}V} \circ \iota^{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}}_{\{[b]\}\bar{\otimes}\{[b^{-1}]\}}\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{\{[a]\}\bar{\otimes}V} \circ l^{-1}_{\{[a]\}\bar{\otimes}V} \circ\\
&\circ u \circ v^{\vee} \circ r_{\{[b]\}\bar{\otimes}V} \circ \mathrm{id}_{\{[b]\}\bar{\otimes}V}\bar{\otimes}\iota^{\{1\}}_{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}} \circ a^{-1}_{\{[b]\}V\{1\}}\Big) \circ\\
&\circ a_{\{[b^{-1}]\}\{[b]\}V\bar{\otimes}\{1\}} \circ \iota^{I_{\mathrm{Vect}_H}}_{\{[b^{-1}]\}\bar{\otimes}\{[b]\}}\bar{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_{V\bar{\otimes}\{1\}} \circ l^{-1}_{V\bar{\otimes}\{1\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.4), Propositions 2.4, 4.6, 11.6, and the above lemma, $\widetilde{\pi}(\sum_{c \in X} L_{ac}(L^{-1})_{cb} - \delta_{ab}\phi) = 0$.

Let u denote the generator (4) of the ideal I_σ in Definition 7.1. On account of the proof of Proposition 11.3, we can show $\widetilde{\pi}(u) = 0$. We leave it to the reader to verify that $\widetilde{\pi}(u) = 0$ for the other generators u of I_σ. ☐

From Proposition 11.7, there uniquely exists a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $\pi : A_\sigma \to D_{H,X}(V)$ such that $\pi(u + I_\sigma) = \widetilde{\pi}(u)$ $(u \in \mathbb{C}\{HX\})$.

Proposition 11.9. $G(L_V) := (V, \pi) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma))$.

Proof. By Definition 4.3, the following two conditions are equivalent.

(1) $\pi : A_\sigma \to D_{H,X}(V) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Alg}_{(H,X)})$.

(2) $\pi : A_\sigma \to D_{H,X}(V)$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism such that:

  (a) $\pi \circ \mu_l^{A_\sigma} = \mu_l^{D_{H,X}(V)}$, and $\pi \circ \mu_r^{A_\sigma} = \mu_r^{D_{H,X}(V)}$;

  (b) $\pi(L_{ab} + I_\sigma) \in D_{H,X}(V)_{[a],[b]} \quad (\forall a, b \in X)$;

  (c) $\pi((L^{-1})_{ab} + I_\sigma) \in D_{H,X}(V)_{[b^{-1}],[a^{-1}]} \quad (\forall a, b \in X)$.

We note that, by virtue of (7.2), the above condition (a) in (2) implies

$$\pi(\xi + I_\sigma) \in D_{H,X}(V)_{1,1} \quad (\xi \in M_H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M_H).$$

A direct computation shows (2), and the proposition follows. □

By taking account of the proof of Proposition 11.4,

Proposition 11.10. *Every* $f : L_V \to L_W \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma)$ *is a morphism of* $\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)$ *whose source is* $G(L_V)$ *and whose target is* $G(L_W)$.

For $f : L_V \to L_W \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma)$, we set $G(f) = f : G(L_V) \to G(L_W) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma))$.

Proposition 11.11. $G : \mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma \to \mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)$ *is a strict tensor functor.*

We can check that $FG = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma}$ and $GF = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)}$ as tensor functors; therefore,

Theorem 11.12. *The tensor categories* $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$ *and* $\mathrm{DR}(A_\sigma)$ *are isomorphic.*

Corollary 11.13. $\mathrm{Rep}\,R$ *is isomorphic to* $DR(A_R)$.

Remark 11.14. If σ is a Yang-Baxter operator on X in Vect_H (Definition 3.3), then the objects (X, σ) of $\mathrm{Rep}\,\sigma$ and (X, π_σ) of $DR(A_\sigma)$ satisfy $G(X, \sigma) = (X, \pi_\sigma)$ (See Propositions 3.4, 3.5, and 8.2).

Acknowledgements

The first author wishes to express his thanks to Professor Mikhail Olshanetsky for drawing his attention to L-operators for the dynamical Yang-Baxter map. The first and the second authors were supported in part by KAKENHI (19540001) and (18540008), respectively.

References

[1] Baxter, R.J.: Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model, *Ann. Physics* **70** (1972) 193–228.

[2] Baxter, R.J.: *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*. Academic Press, Inc., London, 1982.

[3] Böhm, G.: Hopf algebroids, arXiv:0805.3806v1 [math.QA], 2008.

[4] Brzeziński, T. and Militaru, G.: Bialgebroids, $\times_A$-bialgebras and duality, *J. Algebra* **251** (2002), no. 1, 279–294.

[5] Donin, J. and Mudrov, A.: Dynamical Yang-Baxter equation and quantum vector bundles, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **254** (2005), no. 3, 719–760.

[6] Drinfel'd, V.G.: On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory, *Quantum groups* (Leningrad, 1990), 1–8, Lecture Notes in Math., 1510, Springer, Berlin, 1992.

[7] Etingof, P. and Latour, F.: *The dynamical Yang-Baxter equation, representation theory, and quantum integrable systems*. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 29. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005.

[8] Etingof, P., Schedler, T. and Soloviev, A.: Set-theoretical solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, *Duke Math. J.* **100** (1999), no. 2, 169–209.

[9] Etingof, P. and Varchenko, A.: Solutions of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation and dynamical quantum groups, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **196** (1998), no. 3, 591–640.

[10] Faddeev, L.D., Reshetikhin, N.Yu., and Takhtajan, L.A.: Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Algebraic analysis*, Vol. I, 129–139, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

[11] Felder, G.: Conformal field theory and integrable systems associated to elliptic curves, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994), 1247–1255, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.

[12] Gervais, J.-L. and Neveu, A: Novel triangle relation and absence of tachions in Liouville string field theory, *Nuclear Phys. B* **238** (1984), no. 1, 125–141.

[13] Hartwig, J.T.: The elliptic $GL(n)$ dynamical quantum group as an $\mathfrak{h}$-Hopf algebroid, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2009**, Art. ID 545892, 41 pp.

[14] Kassel, C.: *Quantum groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 155. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[15] Koelink, E. and van Norden, Y.: Pairings and actions for dynamical quantum groups, *Adv. Math.* **208** (2007), no. 1, 1–39.

[16] Lu, J.-H.: Hopf algebroids and quantum groupoids, *Internat. J. Math.* **7** (1996), no. 1, 47–70.

[17] Lu, J.-H., Yan, M. and Zhu, Y.-C.: On the set-theoretical Yang-Baxter equation, *Duke Math. J.* **104** (2000), no. 1, 1–18.

[18] Pflugfelder, H.O.: *Quasigroups and loops*: *Introduction.* Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 7. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[19] Shibukawa, Y.: Dynamical Yang-Baxter maps, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005**, no 36, 2199–2221.

[20] Shibukawa, Y.: Dynamical Yang-Baxter maps with an invariance condition, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **43**, no. 4, (2007) 1157–1182.

[21] Takeuchi, M.: Groups of algebras over $A \otimes \bar{A}$, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **29** (1977), no. 3, 459–492.

[22] Takeuchi, M.: Survey on matched pairs of groups–an elementary approach to the ESS-LYZ theory, *Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups* (*Warsaw,* 2001), 305–331, Banach Center Publ., 61, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2003.

[23] Takeuchi, M.: A survey on Nichols algebras, *Contemp. Math.* **376** (2005) 105–117.

[24] Veselov, A.: Yang-Baxter maps: dynamical point of view, *Combinatorial Aspect of Integrable Systems*, 145–167, MSJ Mem., 17, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2007.

[25] Weinstein, A. and Xu, P.: Classical solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **148** (1992), no. 2, 309–343.

[26] Xu, P.: Quantum groupoids, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **216** (2001), no. 3, 539–581.

[27] Yang, C.N.: Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19** (1967), 1312–1314.

[28] Yang, C.N.: S matrix for the one-dimensional N-body problem with repulsive or attractive δ-function, *Phys. Rev.* **168** (1968), 1920–1923.